# COURSE GUIDE

# CRD 208 NIGERIAN & INTERNATIONAL COOPERATIVES

Course Team: Lawal Kamaldeen, A. A. Ph.D (Course

Developer/Writer)

Department of Entrepreneurship

Faculty of Management Science – NOUN

Prof. J.O Y Aihonsu (Course Editor) Olabisi Onabanjo University, Ago-Iwoye.

Lawal Kamaldeen, A. A. Ph.D – (HOD)

Department of Entrepreneurship

Faculty of Management Science – NOUN

Dr. Ishola, Timothy O. (Dean) –

Faculty of Management Science – NOUN



NATIONAL OPEN UNIVERSITY OF NIGERIA

National Open University of Nigeria Headquarters University Village Plot 91 Cadastral Zone Nnamdi Azikiwe Expressway Jabi, Abuja. Lagos Office 14/16 Ahmadu Bello Way Victoria Island, Lagos e-mail: centralinfo@noun.edu.ng URL: www.noun.edu.ng Published by: National Open University of Nigeria ISBN: Printed: 2017 All Rights Reserved

CONTENTS	PAGE
Introduction	iv
Course Aims	iv
Course Objectives	iv
Structure of the Course	
Assessment	V
Tutor Marked Assessment	V
Examination	
Summary	vi

#### INTRODUCTION

The origin and growth of Nigeria and International Cooperative is as old as human race. The course is to acquaint learners with the pattern of growth and development of cooperative from Ancient Egyptian to the present day and provide analytical expression and tools for comparison. More so, the concept engulfed and spread gradually from Europe and to other part of the world. Presently cooperative is a form of business organization which is an international movement. Finally cooperative managers needs to understand the concept, principles of cooperative in other part of world and take decisions bothering on running cooperative business management successfully.

#### **COURSE AIMS**

The overall aim of the course can be summarized as introducing students to with the pattern of growth and development of cooperative from Ancient Egyptian to the present day and provide analytical expression and tools for comparison basic concepts, lay down principles, scope and approaches of cooperative management. This will be achieved by:

- Introducing you to the origin and evolution of cooperative.
- Treating with you, constraint, success and future of cooperative in Europe, America, Asia and Africa- Nigeria.

#### **COURSE OBJECTIVES**

To achieve the broad aims set out about, the course objective below each of the separate units of the course also has its objectives at the beginning of the unit to help you focus better.

Once you have successfully completed the course, it is expected that you focus better.

#### STRUCTURE OF THE COURSE

This is a two-credit unit course made up of sixteen study units covering the following:

The specific study units are as follows:

#### MODULE 1

Unit 1 The Origin and Growth of Cooperatives

Unit 2	The Works of Notable Scholars in Development of
	Cooperative
Unit 3	The Pattern of Development of Cooperatives in Europe,
	Asia, America and Nigeria
Unit 4	The Pattern of Development of Cooperatives in Asia
Unit 5	The Pattern of Development of Cooperatives in Africa-
	Nigeria

# **MODULE 2**

Unit 1	Importance of Agricultural Cooperatives in Britain,
	Demark, Norway and Sweden
Unit 2	Success of Consumers Cooperative Movement in
	Britain
Unit 3	History of Agricultural Cooperative in U.S.A
	(America)
Unit 4	Problems of Agricultural Cooperative in U S A
Unit 5	The Role Played by Cooperatives in Indian
	Development

# **MODULE 3**

Unit 1	Historical Development of the Nigerian Cooperative
	Movement, its Achievement, Constrains and Future
	Prospects
Unit 2	Modern and Traditional Pattern of Cooperation in Nigeria
Unit 3	Structure of the Cooperative Movement in Nigeria
Unit 4	The Activities of the Following: ANCE, NACCUN,
	NACMO, CFN, and NCISN
Unit 5	The Role of International Agency for Cooperative
	Development (ICD), World Council of Credit Unions -
	WOCCU and IFAP - International Federation of
	Agricultural Producers, International Fund For
	Agricultural Development (IFAD)
Unit 6	History, Objectives and the Importance of the
	International Cooperative Alliance

# **ASSESSMENT**

There will be two aspects to the assessment of the course:

Continuous Tutor Marked Assessments Written examination of three (3) hours duration.

#### TUTOR MARKED ASSESSMENT

In attempting the assignments, you are expected to utilize the information gathered during the course. Each assignment, which you will find at the end of each unit, should be submitted to your assigned tutor at a date to be decided by the National Open Nigeria Authority, on a separate file. The assignment will count for 50% of the total course mark.

#### **EXAMINATION**

The final examination for the course will be of two hours duration and account for 50% of the total course grade. Typically all areas of the course will be assessed during the examination.

#### **SUMMARY**

Cooperative managers of any societies need to be provided information and understanding the concepts and lay down principle of cooperative learn from different countries and international cooperatives association and organization the contributing factors to their failure and success. Thus CRD 208 Nigerian and International Cooperatives is designed in such a way to make learners in providing relevant information and knowledge that will help them in cooperative business and management.

# MAIN CONTENT

CONTENTS		PAGE
MODULE 1		1
Unit 1	The Origin and Growth of Cooperatives	1
Unit 2	The Works of Notable Scholars in Development of Cooperative	7
Unit 3	The Pattern of Development of Cooperatives in Europe, Asia, America and Nigeria	14
Unit 4	The Pattern of Development of Cooperatives in Asia	21
Unit 5	The Pattern of Development of Cooperatives in Africa-Nigeria	25
MODULE 2.		30
Unit 1	Importance of Agricultural Cooperatives in	
Unit 2	Britain, Demark, Norway and Sweden	30
Unit 3	in Britain	42
	(America)	48
Unit 4	Problems of Agricultural Cooperative in U S A	60
Unit 5	The Role Played by Cooperatives in Indian Development	72
MODULE 3.		78
Unit 1	Historical Development of the Nigerian Cooperative Movement, its Achievement,	
Unit 2	Constrains and Future Prospects  Modern and Traditional Pattern of Cooperation	78
Unit 3	in Nigeria	84
Unit 4	in Nigeria	89
Unit 5	NACMO, CFN, and NCISN	. 94
Unit 6	Development (IFAD)	100
	the International Cooperative Alliance	107

#### MODULE 1

Unit 1	The Origin and Growth of Cooperatives
Unit 2	The Works of Notable Scholars in Development of
	Cooperative
Unit 3	The Pattern of Development of Cooperatives in Europe,
	Asia, America and Nigeria
Unit 4	The Pattern of Development of Cooperatives in Asia
Unit 5	The Pattern of Development of Cooperatives in Africa-
	Nigeria

# UNIT 1 THE ORIGIN AND GROWTH OF COOPERATIVES

#### **CONTENT**

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Objectives
- 3.0 Main content
  - 3.1 Origin and growth of cooperatives and notable works of scholars
  - 3.2 Babylonian Era.
  - 3.3 The Early Greek Era between 3000 to 325 B.C.
  - 3.4 Ancient Chinese Associations 200 B.C
  - 3.5 The Roman Era, 510 B.C to 475 A.D
  - 3.6 The Early Christian Era, 1-313 A.D
  - 3.7 The Rise of Islam 600-1490 A.D.
  - 3.8 The Middle Age, 500-1400.A.D
  - 3.9 Renaissance (recovery) Period 1400-1750 A.D:
    - 3.9.1 The industrial Revolution 1750-1944 A.D.
    - 3.9.2 The ATOMIC and SPACE AGE in 1945 to Present day
- 4.0 Conclusion
- 5.0 Summary
- 6.0 Tutor-marked assignments
- 7.0 References/Further Readings

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The origin and growth of cooperation are as old as human race. Cooperatives started as an urban consumer retail enterprise but later spread to rural areas amongst farmers. During the latter part of the nineteenth century, the concept engulfed several parts of Europe and North America. Early in the twentieth century, the cooperative movement spread to Indian and gradually to other Asian and African countries,

mainly courtesy of the colonial administrators. Presently, the cooperative form of business organization is an international movement. Although some associate it's with socialist or communist country. (Roy, 1980).

#### 2.0 OBJECTIVES

At the end of this unit, tutors should be able to:

- acquaint learners with the pattern of development of the cooperative development right from Ancient Egyptian to the present day
- provide analytical tools comparison of cooperative approaches.

#### 3.0 MAIN CONTENT

# 3.1 Origin and Growth of Cooperation and Notable Works of Scholars

The evolution and growth of cooperation can be traced down to **Ancient Egyptian Era between (3100 to 1150 BC)** as the main period of development. The cooperative has evolved from a religion-oriented institution to a more formalized business-oriented organization serving economic rather than spiritual needs. The nature of development was craftsmen and artisan associations. Roy (1981), Lawal and Oludimu (2011).

#### 32 Babylonian Era

Roy (1981) noted that between 3000 to 540 BC, some agricultural lease had cooperative features history and documents indicating that the tenants famers in cooperatives kept strict account of their enterprises instead of hiring themselves out to large estate owners. They were enabled by law to achieve economic freedom and independence. Also the prevalence of credit transaction among the ancient Babylonians doubtless led to the creation of loan societies to assist the small farmers and craftsmen who sought to protect the poor and weak against the injustices that were ramped among the powerful rich.

## 3.3 The Early Greek Era between 3000 to 325 B.C

This era was created for cult of the dead buried in accordance with the rituals of their religion and assured its members of a burial place decent for funeral and aimed at promotion of mutual assistance. The religion and cultural association known as "Orglonen" and "Thiasi" burial benefit societies. The services are to assist members traveling with care

and information, to aid the poor to temporarily impoverished and permitted to operate in freedom and safety as observed by Henderson(1969) and Roy (1981).

#### 3.4 Ancient Chinese Associations 200 B.C

The nature of development was loan and credit association during the Hon DYNASTY before the Christian era, when PONG KOONG, A RICH and influential Chinese, instituted the first money-lending society bearing cooperative features. Roy (1981), enumerated the characteristic features as:

- 1. A limited society, all members contributed equal amounts.
- 2. A system of fines for non- payment of contribution of the fund
- 3. Competitive bids for loans
- 4. Equal division of profits
- 5. Payment of dues, borrowed sum in installments and interest at stated intervals.

#### 3.5 The Roman Era, 510 B.C to 475 A.D.

The nature of development was craftsmen's and burials benefits. The cooperative craftsmen organization called "Collegia" which appeared as early as the beginning of old Roman history. Henderson(1969) and Roy (1981), noted that the history credits the legendary king Numa Pompilus that established eight trade colleges such as carpenters, coppersmiths dyers, fullers, goldsmiths, flute players, shoemakers and potmakers they possessed no legal facilities for settling question regarding production, price and labour relations They were suppressed by the Roman ruler and later restored during Julies Ceasar regime.

#### 3.6 The Early Christian Era, 1-313 A.D

The nature of development was Artisan societies with burial benefits. Henderson (1969), Degler (1970) and Roy (1981) were of the same opinion that the birth of Jesus Christ constituted significant event in the world history. The Roman industry consisted of craftsmen and plantation agriculture known as *latifindal* were dominant. The peasant proprietors surrender their land to wealthy landlord in return for the right to remain on the land as tenants although rental payment had to be made to the landlords. While agriculture cooperative had no basis upon which develop and expand, the cooperative features existed was that of burial cooperatives for funerals expenses of members paid from funds obtained by common subscriptions.

#### 3.7 The Rise of Islam 600-1490 A.D

Roy (1981), posited that the nature of development stressed on cooperation as part of faith based on the Holy Quran and Sunnah (practice) of prophet Muhammed (S.A.W). He was dissatisfied with conducts of trade and other business in and around Mecca. Muhammed (S.A.W) received supernatural instructions which embodied in the Quran Part of Quran emphasize the significance relative of cooperation, profit sharing based on capital contributed, no or zero interest, justice and equality distribution of wealth/income for the mere sake of wealth as a matter of faith. The cooperative was also looked upon as a unifying institution to better the economic, social and political conditions in the community. The essence of Islamic teaching certainly lent strong support to the cooperative idea. Roy (1980), his observation was supported by Lawal and Oludimu (2011) that zero interest cooperative society exist and operate without any hindrance.

## 3.7.1 The Middle Age, 500-1400.A.D

The Gilds developed and originated from Roman industrial colleges when Swiss dairymen were reported to have made cheese cooperative and anticipated the modern consumer cooperatives. The objective of gilds was to secure the positions of members craftsmen price, hours of labour and quality of output were carefully prescribed gilds afforded a center of social activities and maintained common funds which were drawn upon to pay funeral expenses of their members allowances to those physically incapacitated and grants to widows for a period of two years as observed by Henderson, (1969) and Roy (1981).

#### 3.7.2 Renaissance (recovery) Period 1400-1750 A.D:

Roy (1981), The nature of development was joint stock companies. The commercial revolution was an integral feature of the joint stock companies developed because of the need for large sum of capital for voyages of exploration and colonization. The joint stock received a charter from the king which fixed responsibility, stated rights and obligations for the protection of stock and profit in proportion of the capital contributed. The type of cooperative ventures was the mutual fire insurance companies.

#### 3.7.3 The industrial Revolution 1750-1944 A.D.

The nature of development was technological advance, agricultural and communication improvement, development of capitalism and emergence of the modern factory that lead to increase in production and mechanization, increase consumption and improved living standards and

lowered price. The factory system led to exploitation of workers, creation of social problems and abandonment of agriculture, economic cycle of inflation and deflation, unemployment, conflict and more violent and frequent of industrial accidents. Based on all the issues raised two school of thought emerged concerning the best way to solve problems and canvassing their views on industrial revolution. The first group comprises of Karl Marx, Friedrich Engels and others while the second group are Rochdale, Friedrich Raiffeisen and others. The mutual fire associations, Mercantilism was demolished, capitalism evolved and became modified to PENEY CAPITALISTS (1769) A. D. with the weavers organizing a consumer cooperative then ROCHDALE SOCIETY in 1844 A. D. tagged modern era of cooperative that began also with consumer cooperative farm and credit cooperative where early development to the rise of RAIFFEISEN credit societies in 1860 A.D that developed consumer credit unions.

## 3.7.4 The Atomic and Space Age

In 1945 to present day know as second century of cooperative began much more significant throughout the continent. Since then cooperative had spread all over the globe. Roy (1980).

#### 4.0 CONCLUSION

The unit explained the pattern of development of the cooperative development right from Ancient Egyptian, Babylonian Era, Early Greek Era, Ancient Chinese Association, The Roman Era, The Early Christian Era, Barbaric Age, The Rise of Islam, The Middle age, Renaissance period, Industrial Revolution, The Atomic and Space Age to the present day as the analytical tools for comparison among cooperative approaches.

#### 5.0 SUMMARY

The historical development of cooperative will enhance our understanding about the concept of cooperative, reasons for joining and establishing, various hindrance and solution provided at different stages of development.

#### 6.0 TUTOR-MARKED ASSIGNMENT

Discuss the origin and development of cooperative from Ancient Egyptian to the present day.

#### 7.0 REFERENCE/FURTHER READINGS

- Birchall, Johnston. (1997) *The International Cooperative Movement*. Manchester, UK: University of Manchester Press, pp
- David, A. S. (1998). Essential Information on Cooperative Credit Societies (CTCS/CICS), Dac-print Publishers, Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Daniel, H (2006), Dictionary of Management, Academic (India) Publishers. New Delhi.
- Encyclopedia of Business, 2nd ed. Reference for Business
- Epetimehin, F.M,(2006). Understanding the Dynamics of Cooperatives, ICReTI Publishing house Ibadan, Nigeria
- Fred, E (2006), Introduction to Co-operatives Course Material on COP 101 National Open University of Nigeria, pp1-6.
- Furlough, Ellen, and Carl Strikwerda, eds (1999) Consumers Against Capitalism?: Consumer Cooperation in Europe, North America, and Japan, 1840-1990. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield,
- Lawal, K. A. A and Oludimu, O. L (2011), Management Theory, Practices and Focus, Asogun Published, Ibaban, Nigeria,
- Roy, E. P.(1981), Cooperative: Development, Principles and Management, Interstate Printers and Publishers, INC.USA pp 1-110.
- S. Owojuyigbe,(2007), Cooperative Administration and Fieldwork in Nigeria.3<sup>rd</sup> edition, Samlolly Publishers Ibadan, Nigeria,
- Oludimu, O. L. and Adedoyin, S.F(1996).Running a more successful Cooperative, Triumph Book Publishers, Ijebu Ode, Nigeria.
- Umebali, E.E.(2008), Rural Development and Management, Course Material on MPA769 National Open University of Nigeria, pp36-44
- "The Co-operative Information Superhighway." *International Cooperative Alliance (ICA). (2011)* Available from www.coop,org. *Review of International Co-operation*, quarterly. Cooperatives benefits http://www.referenceforbusiness.com/encyclopedia/Concos/Cooperatives.html#ixzz1fl8lCHrv.

# UNIT 2 THE WORKS OF NOTABLE SCHOLARS IN DEVELOPMENT OF COOPERATIVE

#### **CONTENTS**

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Objectives
- 3.0 Main Content
  - 3.1 The Works of Notable Scholars in Development of Cooperative Robert Owen Robert
  - 3.2 William King Charles Fourier, (1772-1837)
  - 3.3 FRIEDRICH Raiffeisen (1818-1888)
  - 3.4 Hermann Schultz-Delizsch (1808-1883)
  - 3.5 Rochdale Equitable Pioneers Society and the Successes of the Cooperative
  - 3.6 The Term 'Hungry Forties'
- 4.0 Conclusion
- 5.0 Summary
- 6.0 Tutor Marked Assignment
- 7.0 References/Further Readings

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

In unit I, we discussed the historical antecedent of cooperative development. There is need to understand the contributions of notable scholars in the development of cooperative. This will assist the learner and reader to fully understand the idea of cooperative development. The notable work of scholars in cooperative such as Robert Owen Robert, William King Charles Fourier, FRIEDRICH Raiffeisen, Hermann Schultz-Delizsch, Rochdale were discussed.

#### 2.0 OBJECTIVES

At the end of this unit, you should be able to:

- discuss the contributions of notable scholars in other parts of the world to the development of cooperative and
- explain the term 'hungry forties"

#### 3.0 MAIN CONTENT

Notable works of scholars in cooperative such as Robert Owen. Robert Owen's, (1771-1858). The cooperative philosophy was not as wholesome as some writers have suggested. Owenism was like socialism than true cooperation. He believed that education could make a society to progress so he established a school in his factory at New

Lambark for his workers and their children. He reduced their workings hours; he opened shops where unadulterated goods were sold at low prices; in 1816, for character training. Between 1813 and 1816, he published his social theory in a set of four essays titled 'A View of Society'. In 1815 Owen did a research on how the common people could come together to make life easier for themselves, the result of which led to the formation of Co-operative Societies in Britain, before it started to spread all over the world. Robert Owen's association with common-people made him to have many disciples who called themselves Owenties' His followers nearly defied him, because of his love and struggle to make life better for the common people. Owen posited that the just price of a good was its labour cost, to charge more was unjust. Profits were the cause of over production and led to crisis. Money based upon the value of over precious metals helped to confuse the relationship between the true values of goods in exchange. He advocated the organization of men in group which should own and use in common all the instrumentalities of production necessary for the members of each group. The ideal group, or community, should consist from 500-3,000 people, placed on about 1,500 acres. All of the members of the community should live in one large quadrangular building with public kitchen, mess-room and with separate apartments for each family. The community should be mainly agricultural but should carry on a variety of occupation so as to be as nearly self-sufficient as possible. It should avail itself of the inventions, but without yielding to country and town life. Owen, prominence in cooperative literature is that he called attention to the abuses prevalent under capitalism. This system, Owen eventually hoped, would be embraced by the whole world. More so, he did not accept the thesis of capitalistic cooperative. Owen's legend in cooperative history is difficult to analyse. At first his ideas were received with good deal of favour but this system tried both in England and America, failed. Few of his principle were adopted; his social and economic reform plans failed. Among such failures were the New Harmony in Indiana and the Liano colony near Leesville, Louisiana.

In conclusion, his achievement were more notable as it introduced better housing for workers, child labour reforms, free public libraries and trade schools, more practical public educational programs and shorter working hours.

# 3.1 William King Charles Fourier, (1772-1837)

His focused on the economic and social problems of the French people. Thought a physician he became a co-operator by virtues of his acquaintance with the members of a mechanic institute founded in Brighton in 1825. He was a student of history and economics in his college days and at the same time a physician. He was an active

promoter of projects that would benefit the working class of poor people. As a result of this, he was nicknamed "the poor man's **physician**" through propaganda of the London Co-operative society. As it was his proposals for reform consisted of many things, but essentially his plan was to organize voluntary communal associations covering three square miles each. These associations contained socials centers, educational facilities and industry centers among other things. Each member of an association had ownership of shares in the communal property or phalanx. Fourierism was a plan for decentralizing large city populations and eliminating the attendant evils of urban life, while allowing its residents to escape the isolation and drudgery of rural life at that time. . Dr. King emulated several policies on how to run Cooperative Societies which he published in monthly journal called "The Co-operator". He taught the people that they could achieve a lot through the co-operative community and they are to be honest and loyal to one another. He advocated that poverty would be eradicated only through co-operation.

In conclusion, Charles Fourier plan failed due to lack of funds, poor recruiting and bad management.

## **3.2** Friedrich Raiffeisen (1818-1888)

Raiffeisen idea was the credit union. A Germany and mayor of the country town of Flammersfeld in 1848, was desperate for a way to save his people from starvation due to the catastrophy in the barren district of Westerwald the people were in heavy debt together with the bad times. Raiffeisen appealed to the well —to-do of the community for financial assistance but charity was merely a stop-gap. Raiffeisen traveled all over the globe and discussed with whomever he met about his idea on the credit union that only people who belonged to the credit union could borrow from it that loans would be made only for provident and productive purposes, at low interest, and that a man's character was the important security for his loan. It was later set up in (1862). He also insisted that all the people in the credit union have a common bond of interest to hold them together.

In conclusion the significant principle which Raiffeisen also developed was the federation of local credit societies into regional and national bank Raiffeisen is regarded as perhaps the foremost cooperator of all time his name became a household word throughout Germany he was known as 'father Raiffeisen' and before he died he had organized 425 credit unions.

#### 3.3 Hermann Schultz-Delizsch (1808-1883)

Delizsch, was also a German, an instrumental lawyer in organizing credit cooperative for small businessmen and craftsmen. Delizsch, basic economic beliefs concerning cooperatives were: (1) cooperatives should accept no charity (2) that cooperative patrons should control and capitalize their cooperative and (3) the cooperative growth should proceed slowly through self-help. In addition, he helped form accident, consumer purchasing, health insurance and wholesale buying cooperatives for small businessmen. In conclusion, Delizsch was able to action close to 1,900 credit cooperatives, his zeal and organizing ability inspired RAIFFEISEN.

# 3.4 Rochdale Equitable Pioneers Society and the successes of the Cooperative

The origin of Rochadale Society began operating in 1844, it was not until 1852 that the British Parliament passed the industrial and provident Societies Act. This legislation provided for the incorporation of societies such as Rochadale and allowed sales to nonmembers but did not limit the liability of shareholders. The Rochadale-type cooperative was introduced in the United States in 1863 at Lawrence, Massachusetts and in 1864 in Philadelphia with the organization of a consumer cooperative known as the Union Cooperative Association No. 1, which had 24 members.

Characteristic of the Rochadale Cooperative Society are as follow:

- 1. Charged current prices to avoid price war
- 2. Controlled by a board of directors and elected by members
- 3. Each member was allowed one vote in all elections
- 4. Financed by money saved by members themselves
- 5. Grew out of a need caused by unemployment
- 6. Insisted on cash trading
- 7. Insisted on frequent and regular audits of the book
- 8. Members furnishing capital were paid 5 percent interest
- 9. Members were content to operate within the capitalistic system
- 10. Money were spent to educate members and others
- 11. Politically and religiously neutral
- 12. Refunded profits by patronage dividend

The conditions that prevailed when Rochdale appeared were about as follow:

1. The workers (masses) were being displaced from their private, independents domestic manufacturing operations or cottage

industries by the new factories employing the new power and machines developed during industrial revolution.

- 2. Workers not recognized and had little political influence
- 3. Workers lacked bargaining power in the labour market in dealing with the industrial corporations. (No trade union) also resulted in low incomes and inequitable distribution of the product of their labour and
- 4. The workers (masses) became dependent upon wages for their income and lost control of the production resources.

The Rochdale pioneers were destitute, poor and a few were relatively well off.

Roy (1981). summarised Rochdale principles as follow:

The following Rochadale principles may be accepted with reservation and qualification:

- 1. Open membership
- 2. One man one vote
- 3. Limited interest on capital investments
- 4. Limitation on the number of shares owned

The following Rochdale Principles may be rejected *prima facie* as being non-principled:

- 1. Cash trading.
- 2. Membership education.
- 3. Political and religious neutrality.
- 4. No unusual risk assumption.
- 5. Goods sold at regular retail prices

The following is accepted as cooperative principle without reservation or qualification

# 3.5 Net Margins Distributed According to Patronage

The subsequent societies inherited from Rochdale Pioneer were the democratic Administration which further alleviated the problems of members. This society operated successfully for about 90 years with remarkable achievements. Through the success of Rochdale Equitable Pioneers, co-operative societies spread all over Britain and to other parts of the world. Due to the success recorded by this society between 1844 and 1846, the 1847 economic recession in Britain could not affect the business of the members. Between 1848 and 1850, there was tremendous growth in the weaving business which really reduced

members' domestic problems. Then in 1850 the Rochdale Equitable Pioneers made more resolutions to improve the condition of members. These included:

- 1. To open a shop of essential commodities and clothes.
- 2. To build or purchase houses for members to reside.
- 3. To produce such articles as the society might determine where unemployed or low-paid members could be employed.
- 4. To purchase or rent lands for farming for interest members.
- 5. To build houses for the use of their society and to assist other societies to develop.
- 6. To open a hotel in one of the society's houses which would further promote the society's image.

#### 3.6 The Term 'Hungry Forties"

**Hungry Forties:** A period in the early 1840s when Britain experienced an economic depression, causing much misery among the poor. In 1839 there was a serious slump in trade, leading to a steep increase in unemployment, accompanied by a bad harvest. The bad harvest were repeated in the two following years and the sufferings of the people, in a rapidly increasing population, were made worse by the fact that the Corn Laws seemed to keep the price of bread artificially high. In 1845 potato blight appeared in England and Scotland, spreading to Ireland later in the year and ruining a large part of the crop. The potato blight returned in 1846.

#### 4.0 CONCLUSION

In this unit, we have learned the contributions of notable scholars in other parts of the world to the development of cooperative and the term 'hungry forties'. The ideological struggle continues to this day as always been positive effect on cooperative development that assisted in providing solution to socio, economic and political problems.

#### 5.0 SUMMARY

The contributions and perspective of notable scholars will serve as guiding principle for cooperative development as it can be deduced above that each scholar developed on existing idea or view. The ideological perspectives of notable scholars of cooperative will enhance our understanding about the concept of cooperative, motives for establishing, various hindrance and solution provided at different stages of development.

#### 6.0 TUTOR-MARKED ASSIGNMENT

The understanding of various notable scholars views on cooperative as a great effect. Discuss.

#### 7.0 REFERENCE/FURTHER READINGS

- Fred, E (2006), *Introduction to Co-operatives*, Course Material on COP 101, National Open University of Nigeria, pp1-6.
- Lawal, K. A. A and Oludimu, O. L (2011), Management Theory, Practices and Focus, Asogun Published, Ibadan, Nigeria, pp 130-139
- Roy, E. P.(1981), Cooperative: Development, Principles and Management, Interstate Printers and Publishers, INC.USA pp1-110.
- Umebali, E.E. (2008), Rural Development and Management, Course Material on MPA769 National Open University of Nigeria, pp36-44

# UNIT 3 THE PATTERN OF DEVELOPMENT OF COOPERATIVES IN EUROPE, ASIA, AMERICA AND NIGERIA

#### **CONTENTS**

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Objectives
- 3.0 Main Content
  - 3.1 The Pattern of Development of Cooperatives in Europe: Britain
  - 3.2 Nature of Cooperative in Denmark
  - 3.3 Nature of Cooperative in Norway
  - 3.4 Nature of Cooperative in Sweden
- 4.0 Conclusion
- 5.0 Summary
- 6.0 Tutor Marked Assignment
- 7.0 References/Further Reading

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

There is need for the reader to understand the pattern of cooperative development in Europeans country concern for the plight of the people. What led to this pathetic situation was that played an influential role in the cooperative movement. The effort of cooperative members and significant role of cooperatives union played in the national economy.

#### 2.0 OBJECTIVES

At the end of this unit, you should be able to:

- discuss the pattern of cooperative development in Britain
- discuss the pattern of cooperative development in Denmark
- discuss the pattern of cooperative development in Norway and Sweden

#### 3.0 MAIN CONTENT

# 3.1 Nature of Cooperative in Britain

Co-operation began in Britain as a result of the Industrial Revolution which occurred from 18<sup>th</sup> to 19<sup>th</sup> century. The revolution rendered many people jobless because machines replaced man in carry out many tasks. All farmlands were bought over by the rich and noble men therefore

only the rich people could afford farming, especially on a large scale. There was no room for small farm holding at all.

The prevailing unemployment led to widespread poverty and destitution. The common-man had no house to live, and had no clothes to wear because everything was owned by the capitalists.

The suffering was so terrible that people had to moving from place to place, jumping from one job or trade to another before they could feed their families. However, the rich were becoming richer and the poor poorer. Yet the government of the day showed little concern for the plight of the people. What led to this pathetic situation was that:

- 1. Most of the workers were retrenched and machines were used to produce goods en-masse.
- 2. Those employed were not allowed to do much work so as not to pay them good wages. Those who were not satisfied were ordered to resign.
- 3. There was terrible inflation; hence the worker's wages was not enough to feed him.
- 4. All labour unions were banned so they could not protest against the hardship.
- 5. Basic Social amenities were not provided by the government Workers lived in squalid conditions without good water. There was serious overcrowding as the house were small, poorly ventilated and lack essential facilities like toilets and bathrooms. Children too were compelled to work otherwise they could not eat. They were overworked and underfed.

The hardship forced the workers to devise an avenue of protesting their condition to the government. Since labour unions had been banned all workers in Northern and Southern Britain started coming together in groups with officers in Manchester and Birmingham. The workers groups did not relent their effort to demand for emancipation and recognition from government. Their effort yield fruit with the Friendly Societies Act of 1783 and the Industrial and Provident Societies Act of 1852. Through their leaders several other laws were made by the government to alleviate the condition of workers.

Amidst the hardship and crises emerged heroes who struggled for the betterment of the common people. Notable among them were Robert Owen and Dr. William King.

## 3.2 Nature of Cooperative in Denmark

The pattern of Danish cooperative movement will be an illustrative model used worldwide. As the assessment of agricultural cooperatives, the broad experience, the origins of the Danish cooperative movement are linked to rural population that had learned about democracy and democratic responsibility at the folk high schools. These products of the folk high schools were active in the liberal party of opposition and in the development of the cooperative movement and their local experience consequently helped them in the larger political associations of the liberal opposition (Jensen; 1975). The educational reformer, N.F.S. Grundtvig, played an influential role in this movement. Parallel to this by-product of the constitutional battle, agricultural cooperatives also evolved as a response to the agricultural depression, in particular the dramatic change in the grain prices, in Denmark, and countries that served as outlets for Danish agricultural produce, such as the Great Britain. Unlike in the Great Britain, a Danish farmer was the owner of his land, and no assistance was given to him to cover for the losses, he was left to his own mercy. The Danish cooperative movement was a means of economical organization under leadership of consumer- or producer-controlled corporations, where each individual member owned a part of the corporation. The type of organization was especially used in the farming industry and in consumer organizations in Denmark from the 1790s to the 1960s. The members of the corporations sought to share the economic stress of producing or buying goods, and divided the eventual end of the year financial surplus amongst them. The type of ownership rules varied greatly between individual corporations, as some divided the financial risk equally, while others gave more power to the most financially involved individuals.

In many villages, farmers were either forced or strongly encouraged to tear down their homes and rebuild them in the middle of their new fields with the intention that this would give them easier access to every part of the field, enabling them to utilize the land more effectively. These events are known as the agricultural reforms or the parcellation, and were instigated at the initiative of the Danish Crown to raise production. For the next century, a standard village would be composed of a series of farms, many located a distance from each other, each family working for itself producing grain and raising a few animals. Having no alternative, the Danish farmer opted for cooperation.

In addition, Denmark lacked the comparative advantage, for the production of milk and rearing of pigs. By grouping, farmers pooled their selling power, so that on the market one farmer could not be played off against the other. This bottom-up approach in the evolution of Danish cooperatives illustrates that the cooperative movement was not

started by a circle of philanthropists, or landlords for the purpose of benefiting the practical farmer. The group of farmers that promulgated the cooperative idea was a dominant one in the sense that it owned \(^3\)4 or 75 percent of all arable land. It was also a well-to-do group in terms of per capita income (Henriksen; 1999, p. 59). The first cooperative was the dairy cooperative in Hjedding, established in 1882, established on the initiative of the farmers, financed by the farmers and managed by the farmers (Christensen; 1983, p. 103). Farmers were jointly responsible for any debts that might be incurred, and profits were divided among the members proportionally to the amount of milk each of them delivered. Before the war in 1864, Northern Schleswig had a population density pretty much the same as the rest of the country; in 1920 it had virtually the same population as in 1860, while the population density of the rest of Denmark had doubled. Here the Danish government forced through an acquisition of large German farms; splitting them up into smaller units, that was effectively a way to try to ensure that Danes did not leave the poorly populated and poorly industrialized province.

After the Second War of Schleswig in 1864, two new movements hit Denmark. One was a successful attempt to reclaim moors in central and western Jutland for farming; mostly sandy land abandoned in the 14th century as a result of the Black Plague, but in many cases good for potatoes. This movement was initiated by *Hedeselskabet* (the Heath Association.

Denmark saw a second redistribution of land, which effectively meant the creation of a number of small-scale farms. The top stratum in a village was the priest and schoolmaster, then came the big landowners. Next level of society was the craftsmen who normally owned a bit of land as well. The bottom of society was formed by landless people. The land acquired from the manors was paid for in cash by the government, and was used not to increase the existing farms in size, but to create new ones. The impact was most prominent in Southern Jutland (Northern Schleswig) which had been reunited with Denmark in 1920.

#### **NOTE**

SEE THE INFULENCE AND IMPORTANCE OF COOPERATIVE IN DEMARK IN UNIT6

# 3.3 Nature of Cooperative in Sweden

The first Swedish farm cooperative was formed in 1850. County agricultural societies and grange associations spawned local farmers' cooperatives which purchased farm inputs and marketed members' crops. However one of the biggest obstacles these cooperatives faced

was that no legislation applicable to cooperative associations existed - they were governed by legislation for joint-stock companies. The government adopted legislation concerning cooperatives in 1895 (Ibid; 1997). As a result, cooperatives could form associations.

Co-operation in Sweden have been so successful that the country is fast becoming the Mecca of the Co-operative worldwide this is because Co-operative was introduced for the furtherance of the interest of the Swedish people and everybody was to participate through the contribution of their labour or the notable success was possible through the application of Principles.

**CO-OPERATIVE FORBUNDET** was established 1899 as the organization of the Swedish Consumers Co-operative. Through it many societies grew up to take part in the government of Sweden.

Between 1900-1914, the organisation protected co-operative union and the public from monopoly of essential goods and money.

Cooperative Forbundet conducts study groups by correspondence whereby people learn without a formal teacher. It also publishes a weekly magazine known as VIL for youths and study groups. It publishes many books on Co-operatives economics and international affairs. It has successfully led some Insurance Societies to insure co-operative members against fire and life.

The Co-operative Movement of Sweden is so organized today that manufacturing and distribution of essential goods are under its control. Members are given continuous education while auditing of books and records are effectively carried out. Sweden's Co-operative School is established in Oslo.

# 3.4 Nature of Cooperative in Norway

The Co-operative Movement in Norway was force to be reckoned with in the International Co-operative Community. There are several areas of co-operative operations but we shall consider housing and agriculture.

**Agric Co-operatives:** Agricultural Co-operatives gained rapid popularity in Norway. Its successful development was due to co-operative adult education across the country-side during the last century when Norwegian farmers were enlightened to fight ignorance and prejudice.

Several Agricultural Co-operatives spring up all over Norway and they were very successful. They all came together to form an apex organisation known as Federation of Agricultural Co-operative

Associations. This federation comprises the following: National Purchasing Association; Meat Producers and breeders Association; Eggs producers Association; Milk Producers Association; and Rural Agricultural Credit Society.

#### **Housing co-operatives**

When there was an acute housing problem in the Norwegian, capital city of Oslso and in other large towns the health of the people was first deteriorating. This led to the formation of a Co-operative Building Society in 1929, known as Olso Boligog Sparelag that is Housing and Savings Society; with the aim of providing its members with decent homes at affordable prices several other Co-operative Societies sprang up in Norway and affected every sector of the population.

#### 4.0 CONCLUSION

In this unit, we have learn the pattern and nature of cooperative in European countries such as Britain, Denmark, Norway and Sweden and their contributions to the other parts of the world to the development of cooperative.

#### 5.0 SUMMARY

The contributions and perspective of European countries such as Britain, Denmark, Norway and Sweden and their guiding principle for cooperative development as it can be deduced above that each country developed on existing idea or view. The ideological perspectives of notable scholars of cooperative enhance the understanding about the concept of cooperative, motives for establishing at different stages of development.

#### 6.0 TUTOR-MARKED ASSIGNMENT

Discuss various perspectives of European countries such as Britain, Denmark, Norway and Sweden views on cooperative.

#### 7.0 REFERENCES/FURTHER READINGS

Birchall, Johnston. (1997) *The International Cooperative Movement*. Manchester, UK: University of Manchester Press, pp

David, A. S. (1998). Essential Information on Cooperative Credit Societies (CTCS/CICS), Dac-print Publishers, Ibadan, Nigeria.

- Daniel, H (2006), Dictionary of Management, Academic (India) Publishers. New Delhi.
- Encyclopedia of Business, 2nd ed. Reference for Business
- Epetimehin, F.M,(2006). Understanding the Dynamics of Cooperatives, ICReTI Publishing House, Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Fred, E (2006), Introduction to Co-operatives Course Material on COP 101, National Open University of Nigeria, pp1-6.
- Furlough, Ellen, and Carl Strikwerda, eds (1999) Consumers Against Capitalism?: Consumer Cooperation in Europe, North America, and Japan, 1840-1990. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield,
- Lawal, K. A.A and Oludimu, O. L (2011), Management Theory, Practices and Focus, Asogun, Published, Ibaban, Nigeria, pp
- Roy, E. P.(1981), Cooperative: Development, Principles and Management, Interstate, Printers and Publishers, INC.USA pp1-110.
- S. Owojuyigbe,(2007), Cooperative Administration and Fieldwork in Nigeria.3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, Samlolly Publishers Ibadan, Nigeria, pp Oludimu, O. L. and Adedoyin, S.F(1996).Running a more successful
- Oludimu, O. L. and Adedoyin, S.F(1996).Running a more successful cooperative, Triumph, Book Publishers, Ijebu Ode, Nigeria.
- Umebali, E.E.(2008), Rural Development and Management, Course Material on MPA769, National Open University of Nigeria, pp36-44
- "The Co-operative Information Superhighway." *International Cooperative Alliance (ICA).* (2011) Available from www.coop.org .
- Review of International Co-operation, quarterly. Cooperativesbenefits http://www.referenceforbusiness.com/encyclopedia/Concos/Cooperatives.html#ixzz1fl8lCHrv.

# UNIT 4 THE PATTERN OF DEVELOPMENT OF COOPERATIVES IN ASIA

#### **CONTENTS**

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Objectives
- 3.0 Main Content
  - 3.1 The pattern of development of Cooperatives in ASIA-INDIAN
  - 3.2 Indian Enterprise
- 4.0 Conclusion
- 5.0 Summary
- 6.0 Tutor Marked Assignment
- 7.0 References/Further Readings

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

There is need for the reader to understand the pattern of cooperative development in Asia-India concern for the plight of the people. What led to this pathetic economic situation was that played an influential role in the cooperative movement. The effort of cooperative members and significant role of cooperatives union played in the national economy.

#### 2.0 OBJECTIVES

At the end of this unit, you should be able to:

- discuss the pattern of cooperative development in india
- discuss the India enterprise and some of their economic problems

#### 3.0 MAIN CONTENT

#### 3.1 Asia-Indian

Co-operation started in India as a result of untold suffering which the money-lenders inflicted upon the general populace between 1860 and 1880. The poverty was so common-place that almost seventy five percent (75%) of India's population was starved of all essentials of living; though their main occupation was farming, yet they could not feed well. All the farm produce were under the control of the rich twenty-five percent (25%) of the total population. These few people were 'stinking' rich while others were put in abject poverty. They usually gave usury to the poor farmers at a very high rate of interest.

Whenever they borrowed money from their lenders, the farmers would use their farm inputs as collateral security. But during the harvest these money-lenders would take the farm produce by force in place of the usury given to the poor farmers, thus these farmers were completely enslaved by their creditors. As the money-leaders were becoming richer, so the farmers were becoming poorer. The indebtedness of these farmers was growing at an alarming rate, to the extent that they could not do otherwise, but rather serving the money-lenders. The following were the causes of their indebtedness:

- a. The farmers did not have any savings, instead they relied on the money-lenders for day-to-day borrowing.
- b. Their debt was so much that they had to pass it to their children.
- c. Being poor, they were mainly subsistence-farmers and often fell victim to the vagaries of the weather which affected their farm output or yield.
- d. The farmers were too extravagant especially in marriages and funeral ceremonies. This may be a cultural factor but it became a general habit among the farmers.
- e. They did not have sufficient lands for farming and housing.
- f. The rich landowners and landlords were exploiting the poor masses.

## 3.2 Indian Enterprises

Data on Indian cooperatives are not adequate, yet many associations are known to exist. The Office of Indian Affairs in the U.S. Department of the Interior is responsible for a credit program for Indian cooperatives. This office cooperates with these associations, primarily marketing ones. Other federal departments and agencies active in assistance to Indians Rural Indians make loans with the Farmers Home Administrations.

The Indian is an important member of society. In the United States, there are approximately 800,000 Indians, and they own more than 50 million acres of land, although a substantial part is not fertile land. Although there are a large number of them and they have land, most Indians suffer economically.

#### 3.3 Some of their Economic Problems are

- 1. The need for more education training opportunities to enable them to compete in the job market for skilled and professional work.
- 2. Lack of productive land to provide an adequate livestock in farming or ranching.
- 3. Scarcity of industry nearby.

4. Under utilization of land for potential income-producing purposes such as development of recreation attraction leasing for urban development. Industrial enterprises and lumbering as an industry.

Indians have few alternatives as to when and where to shop and what to buy. They can shop either at the reservation trading post or at independent stores just outside the reservation. Neither choice offers an optimal array of produce alternatives and both the frequently distant from the Indian's home. Indian consumers are, in essence, rural consumers, for 70 percent of all Indians reside in rural areas.

Thus, Indians share with rural consumers the problems of lack of transportation, inadequate health facilities and absence of consumer services.

Indian cooperatives are located in different part of the states and engage in making and marketing arts and crafts, in marketing livestock and other produce and in purchasing farm supplies and consumer goods.

#### 4.0 CONCLUSION

The cooperative development in Asia case study of India concern for the plight of the people that is the masses what led to the pathetic economic problems and the influential role in the cooperative unions to be understand. The effort of cooperative members and significant role of cooperatives union played in the national economy.

#### 5.0 SUMMARY

This unit as focus on cooperative development in Asia-India concern for the plight of the people. What led to this pathetic situation was that played an influential role in the cooperative movement. The effort of cooperative members and significant role of cooperatives union played in the India economy.

#### 6.0 TUTOR MARKED ASSIGNMENT

Discuss the India enterprise and some of their economic problems that led to formation of cooperative.

#### 7.0 REFERENCES/FURTHER READINGS

Birchall, Johnston. (1997) *The International Cooperative Movement*. Manchester, UK: University of Manchester Press, pp

- David, A. S. (1998). Essential Information on Cooperative Credit Societies (CTCS/CICS), Dac-print Publishers, Ibadan, Nigeria
- Daniel,H(2006),Dictionary of Management, Academic(India)Publishers. New Delhi.
- Epetimehin, F.M, (2006). Understanding the Dynamics of Cooperatives, ICReTI Publishing house Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Furlough, Ellen, and Carl Strikwerda, eds (1999) Consumers Against Capitalism? Consumer Cooperation in Europe, North America, and Japan, 1840-1990. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield,
- Roy, E. P. (1981), Cooperative: Development, Principles and Management, Interstate Printers and Publishers, INC.USA pp1-110.
- S. Owojuyigbe,(2007), Cooperative Administration and Fieldwork in Nigeria. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, Samlolly Publishers Ibadan, Nigeria, pp
- Oludimu, O. L. and Adedoyin, S.F(1996).Running a more successful cooperative, Triumph Book Publishers, Ijebu Ode, Nigeria.
- "The Co-operative Information Superhighway." *International Cooperative Alliance (ICA). (2011)* Available from www.coop.org. *Review of International Co-operation*, quarterly.

## Cooperatives-benefits

http://www.referenceforbusiness.com/encyclopedia/Concos/Cooperatives.html#ixzz1fl8lCHrv

# UNIT 5 THE PATTERN OF DEVELOPMENT OF COOPERATIVES IN AFRICA-NIGERIA

#### **CONTENTS**

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Objectives
- 3.0 Main Content
  - 3.1 The pattern of development of Cooperatives in Africa-Nigeria
  - 3.2 The Reasons For Joining
- 4.0 Conclusion
- 5.0 Summary
- 6.0 Tutor Marked Assignment
- 7.0 References/Further Readings

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

There is need for the reader to understand the pattern of cooperative development in Africa-Nigeria an influential role in the cooperative movement. The effort of cooperative members and significant role of cooperatives union played in the national economy.

#### 2.0 OBJECTIVES

At the end of this unit, you should be able to:

- discuss the pattern of cooperative development in
- discuss the reasons for formation and joining cooperatives societies.

#### 3.0 MAIN CONTENT

# 3.1 The Pattern of Development of Cooperatives in Africa-Nigeria

#### Africa-Nigeria

The African Confederation of Co-operative Savings and Credit Association was founded on 12<sup>th</sup> September, 1968. The establishment of ACCOSCA was a result of several meetings and deliberations of directors of Co-operatives in certain African states who were determined to spread Co-operation throughout the continent. They saw Co-operative Societies as the best alternative to improve the economy of every African, especially the poor masses. They purposed to encourage

savings which the individual can be utilize to obtain credit facilities to set up small scale businesses.

Nigeria was one of the countries that founded ACCOSCA. Other countries include Cameroon, Ethiopia, Ghana, Kenya, Lesotho, Liberia, Malawi, Tanzania, Uganda, Gambia and Mauritious Island.

In October 1970, this association (ACCOSCA) became a member of the World Council of Credit Unions (WOCCU). Since the formation of this Association, Co-operative Credit Societies have been increasing rapid in all the member-countries. This could be traced to the series of seminars and trainings being given on Co-operatives all over Africa.

Co-operation in Nigeria started as far back as 1907. Notable among the earliest co-operative societies was Agege Planters Union (A.P.U), made up of cocoa producers. About four hundred (400) cocoa farmers came together to ensure that cocoa was the major farm produce earning foreign exchange for Nigeria. When this union was not immediately recognized by the government, they did not relent on their efforts. There were still other farmers union existing elsewhere.

## 3.2 The Reasons for Joining

The reasons for joining together were:

- To pool resources together so that they could purchase inputs of production at reduced prices and passing the benefits to their members.
- To exchange ideas by sharing experience on how they could produce the best quality cocoa.
- To collectively fight for government recognition this was slow in coming. The Ibadan farmers recognized the need to join the already existing association of Agege Planters Union (APU) and the Egba Farmers Union. They sought for inclusion in the association and were admitted.

The Colonial masters, with the formulation and enactment of cooperative legislation in 1935 based on the report of Mr. F.F. Strickland's stated that cooperative will thrive in Nigeria. When the government realized the significance of Co-operatives, Mr. C.F. Strickland it commissioned submitted in 1955, titled "The Introduction of Co-operative Societies in Nigeria" where he strongly advocated the introduction and development of Co-operatives. He also proposed and actually drafted the relevant Ordinance and Regulations. Later the government saw the need to promote savings habit among the lowincome people. With another report which Mr. Strickland submitted in

1936, Co-operative Thrift and Credit Societies (C.T.C.S) were formed and it spread all over Eastern and Western Nigeria. Adeyeye and Ditto (1980).

Moreso, the enactment of the ordinance saw more rapid development of Co-operative since the enabling framework has been provided. Even though some of the early Co-operatives collapsed for a number of reasons, the mistakes having been learnt a lot more was formed especially after Nigeria's independence 1960. The effort of the colonial government in spreading Co-operatives was effective. From independence to the present, concerted efforts are being made to bring Co-operatives to every community. As more states are created so also Co-operatives are bought nearer to the grass roots.

Among the people we contributed to the Co-operative Movement especially in Western Nigeria were (Sir) J.T. Caxton Idowu, Samuel Aboluwodi, Chief Akinpelu Obisesan, S.O. Abatan, Pastor E.T Latunde and C.A.O. Makanjuola, all of blessed memory. They fought relentless to spread the gospel of Co-operation in the West during their life-time. Though they are late, yet their footprints remain indelible in the sands of Nigeria Co-operatives.

Since the inception of Co-operatives in Nigeria, government had been playing prominent and laudable roles in its development and spread. The initial achievement of the government on Co-operatives was the promulgation Co-operative Laws and the Co-operative Regulations which followed on the 6<sup>th</sup> February, 1936. In 1937, Gbedun Co-operative Produce Marketing Society became the first Co-operative Society to be the first Registrar of Co-operative Societies in Nigeria.

After these developments, several Co-operative Societies and Union were formed and registered by the government through the Western Ministry of Trade, Industry and Co-operative.

The Nigeria Co-operative Societies Association (NCSA) which comprises all Co-operative Societies in Nigeria later became Co-operative Societies Association (CSA). It is upon these solid foundation and achievement that Co-operative Societies in the country are building up till today. And all indications point to a brighter future for Co-operatives in Nigeria.

As each new state will also create a department in an appropriate ministry and saddle such department with Co-operatives matters. Departments for Co-operative development were created in the appropriate ministries and this helped in the promotion of Co-operatives in all parts of Nigeria. The Co-operative Federation of Nigeria was

formed in 1945 and formally registered in 1967. It is the national apex organization which represents the entire co-operative movement in Nigeria. All state Co-operative Federation of Nigerian Co-operatives at the International level and it promotes supports and advises co-operatives nationally.

Co-operative has spread all over Nigeria but they are more prominent in the western parts of the country with various types. Many of the cooperative organizations and establishment have grown very big and their roles in the nation's economic development cannot be over-emphasized.

#### 4.0 CONCLUSION

The historical and evolutional analysis of cooperative movement from Europe, Asia, America, and African will serve as guide in understanding the significant role of cooperative in the growth and development of a nation while the next unit will illustrate further on the importance of cooperatives in America, its constraint and achievement.

#### 5.0 SUMMARY

The organized knowledge and broad experienced gather from various nations will assist in addressed the drawback or hindrance of cooperative in various countries and integrate ones countries that will enhance in development and establishment of national cooperation based on historical fact.

#### 6.0 TUTOR MARKED ASSIGNMENT

- 1. Discuss the pattern of cooperative development
- 2. Discuss the reasons for formation and joining cooperatives societies.

#### 7.0 **REFERENCES/FURTHER READINGS**

- Birchall, Johnston. (1997) *The International Cooperative Movement*. Manchester, UK: University of Manchester Press, pp
- David, A. S. (1998). Essential Information on Cooperative Credit Societies (CTCS/CICS), Dac-print Publishers, Ibadan, Nigeria
- Daniel, H (2006), Dictionary of Management, Academic (India) Publishers. New Delhi.

Encyclopedia of Business, 2nd ed. Reference for Business

Epetimehin, F.M,(2006). Understanding the Dynamics of Cooperatives, ICReTI Publishing house Ibadan, Nigeria

- Fred, E (2006), Introduction to Co-operatives Course Material on COP 101 National Open University of Nigeria, pp1-6.
- Lawal, K. A.A and Oludimu, O. L (2011), Management Theory, Practices and Focus, Asogun Published, Ibaban, Nigeria, pp m
- Owojuyigbe,S (2007), Cooperative Administration and Fieldwork in Nigeria.3<sup>rd</sup> edition, Samlolly Publishers Ibadan, Nigeria, pp
- Oludimu, O. L. and Adedoyin, S.F(1996).Running a more successful cooperative, Triumph, Book Publishers, Ijebu Ode, Nigeria.
- Umebali, E.E.(2008), Rural Development and Management, Course Material on MPA769, National Open University of Nigeria, pp36-44.
- "The Co-operative Information Superhighway." *International Cooperative Alliance (ICA).*
- (2011) Available from www.coop.org . Review of International Cooperation, quarterly. Cooperatives-benefits http://www.referenceforbusiness.com/encyclopedia/Concos/Cooperatives.html#ixzz1f18lCHrv

#### **MODULE 2**

Unit 1	Importance of Agricultural Cooperatives in Britain,
	Demark, Norway and Sweden
Unit 2	Success of Consumers Cooperative Movement in
	Britain
Unit 3	History of Agricultural Cooperative in U.S.A
	(America)
Unit 4	Problems of Agricultural Cooperative in U S A
Unit 5	The Role Played by Cooperatives in Indian
	Development

# UNIT 1 IMPORTANCE OF AGRICULTURAL COOPERATIVES IN BRITAIN, DEMARK, NORWAY AND SWEDEN

#### **CONTENTS**

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Objectives
- 3.0 Main Content
  - 3.1 The Importance of Agricultural cooperatives in Britain
  - 3.2 Early history Denmark cooperative movement
  - 3.3 Cooperative production in Denmark3.3.1 Influence of cooperative in Denmark
  - 3.4 Sweden
  - 3.5 The important role plays by cooperative association are as follow
- 4.0 Conclusion
- 5.0 Summary
- 6.0 Tutor Marked Assignment
- 7.0 References/Further Readings

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Agricultural cooperatives are considered to be important social and economic units aimed at developing agriculture. These organizations are expected to play a very important role in dissolving the rural society problems and improve the future development in agricultural production and to stabilize balance between imports and exports. The importance of the small agricultural cooperatives united to form central economic units to increase their incomes and effectiveness cannot be overemphasized.

#### 2.0 OBJECTIVES

At the end of this unit, you should be able to:

• explain the importance of agricultural cooperative in Britain, Denmark and Sweden.

• understand the significant role play by agricultural cooperative in Britain, Denmark and Sweden.

### 3.0 MAIN CONTENT

### 3.1 Importance of Agricultural Cooperatives in Britain

The development of cooperatives over time has been shaped by many factors and influences. Ingalsbe and Groves (1989) group these into three main types (all interrelated): (1) economic conditions (caused by war, depression, technology, government economic policy, etc.); (2) farmer organizations (including quality of their leadership, their motivation and enthusiasm to promote cooperatives, power to influence public policy, etc.); and (3) public policy (as determined by government interest, legislative initiative, and judicial interpretation.

The planning of agriculture development activate the coordination and cooperation among the roles of both agricultural cooperatives and other organizations in the villages to enhance their importance roles, abilities and the organizational effectiveness in the agricultural and rural development in support of commercial farmers. They aimed at removing farmers from designated areas and consolidating the homelands, were supplemented by other measures to support commercial farmers to provide subsidized loans to commercial farmers, the Cooperatives Societies to secure input supply and output marketing services and to control the marketing of agricultural products. Agricultural cooperatives emerged and thrived in this environment. (Piesse *et al.*, 2003).

The involvement in co-operatives in British colonies was far greater and more direct than in the Dominions. It was channelled through specially created Departments of Co-operation or Co-operative Branches within their Departments of Agriculture. Colonial co-operative development emerged partly in response to Britain's recognition that she needed to add development agency functions to her more traditional imperial objectives of security and supporting trade. The shift occurred for a number of reasons. One was the view of Colonial Secretary Joseph Chamberlain (1836-1914) that just as British country estates to be profitable needed to make all their parts productive so, too, did the British Empire. Contrary to popularly held beliefs British colonies were often a drain on the British exchequer as distinct from British

To companies. become more productive colonies required improvements in their agriculture, veterinary services, transport, communication and education systems and it was thought that cooperatives could play an additional role. Another reason for the shift in policy was a need to prevent economic stagnation or decline and head off the social unrest they could spark. Loss of her American colonies in the 18th century lay deep in Britain's psyche and was reawakened by the near loss of much of India during the Mutiny in 1857. After it, recurring famines and rural poverty 19 and indebtedness threatened India's stability and co-operatives became part of a strategy to counter them.

The few agricultural co-operatives that formed in the late 19th century suffered unfavourable patterns of trade. Farmers also comprised a quite different sector of British society from the growing working classes and were slower to form co-operatives than had been the case in Ireland and Denmark. Those in Ireland were spearheaded by Sir Horace Plunkett (1854-1932) and included primary societies as well as support organisations such as the Irish Agricultural Organisation Society established in 1894.

English, Scottish and Welsh versions were later established on this model.

In 1919 Plunkett created the Plunkett Foundation which became an international organisation for the promotion of agricultural cooperatives. In 1924, in conjunction with the Empire Exhibition at Wembley in north London the Foundation organised an Empire wide conference of agricultural co-operatives. Sir Daniel Hall from the Ministry of Agriculture but also Chairman of the Plunkett Foundation explained to delegates why British agricultural co-operatives had developed less strongly than their counterparts in other parts of the British Empire. One reason was that "the typical British farmer is a capitalist upon a comparatively large scale." Another stemmed from the fact that unlike farmers elsewhere in the British Empire British farmers were not a "single-man or family-unit farmer" for whom "co-operation can do more, and can do that much more quickly." Instead British agriculture comprised comparatively large-scale farmers who formed "a fairly efficient economic unit." A further reason why British farmers were not more enthusiastic about co-operatives was that they did not focus to the same degree on exports as farmers elsewhere in the British Empire. Moreover, their home market was long established and clearly structured and provided an "organised and efficient trade service for both sale and purchase."

This statement illustrates how British agricultural co-operatives differed from those elsewhere in the British Empire and also that their roots were not those of consumer cooperatives.

However, cooperative among labour, farmers and members of the societies through the formation to solved the problems and issues prevailing in Britain.

The agricultural cooperative play the following role in Britain:-

- Providing goods and services at minimal cost. This is selling at prices a little above purchase price.
- Elimination of middle men, especially those who are not performing any economic functions. Most them exploit and hold the public to ransom offer protection to those who are economically weak in terms of doing business.
- Reducing unemployment that led to poverty and destitution
- Provision of shelter and clothes to wear for common man and basic social amenities
- Provision of means of transportation and other auxiliary to trade.
- Solve the problem of inflations
- Each member understands one another more and can resolve issues amicably
- Emancipation and recognition from government through the joint effort of their leaders law were made by the government to alleviate the condition of workers and union regain the power.

In contrast, government first Co-operative legislation, the Co-operative Credit Societies Act, India, was passed in 1904.

India and Southern Africa were strategically important. The late 19th century saw growing competition between Western European Empires and the Russian and the Ottoman Empires. In Southern Africa Britain fought two Boer Wars. After the second ended in 1902 agriculture needed revitalising and co-operatives were believed to be able to help.

## 3.2 Early History Denmark Cooperative Movement

About 90% of all farming soil in Denmark was cooperative from 1300, as the Black Death depopulated the rural parts of the country. Then, the inhabitants of a Danish village would work together, forming *Lands by fællesskaber* (village communes). To distribute land fairly between farmers, the land was normally distributed between all farmers in a village with each of them owning a strip of land on every field. Reallocation of land took place if the size of the individual families changed strongly. In this system, it was virtually impossible to only

work individually, since the plots of land might have the full length of the field, but only be a few meters wide. A second characteristic was that all farms were located close together and near the church, with the result that fields far from the village were often poorly utilized.

The changed in the enclosure movement which aimed to reunite fields and award them to one owner only. Any farmer would normally be awarded a coherent piece of land and perhaps an additional piece of forest between 1750 and 1800.

### 3.3 Cooperative production in Denmark

This change in production resulted in a need for dairies and slaughterhouses. The only way to pay for such massive investments was for a large group of farmers to share the cost and risk between them, thus creating the cooperative dairies and slaughterhouses. The new situation implied that farmers would buy cheap grain from Russia and feed it to their livestock, selling milk, butter, eggs and meat for a much higher price. This movement also resulted in the creation of both the *Danish Bacon* and *Danish Lurpak Butter* brands.

The combination of the Cooperative Movement and the switch away from the production of grain resulted in a great increase in wealth for the average Danish farmer and it became very important in the way Danish farmers perceived themselves. The system was also attempted in other places where Danes settled, for example in the Danish communities in the United States.

Before World War I, Denmark gained a foothold on the Russian market, and the Russian Revolution of 1917 cost Danish industry dearly. Attempts to construct cooperative dairies in Russia played a large role in this policy, and a few were actually built there. The Russian Revolution destroyed this work, but new attempts were made in the Baltic States during the Interwar period. Attempts to export the system to Poland were considered in the Danish Ministry of Foreign Affairs to boost the exports of Danish machinery. Attempts to export this system were often linked with attempts to export the Danish system of secondary education for farmers.

### 3.3.1 Influence of Cooperative in Denmark

Cooperatives were governed in a democratic way, usually each member had one vote, irrespective of the number of cows he possessed. Farmers, members of the cooperative, elected the board of directors who appointed the dairy manager, usually a specialist in the field. Local cooperatives were free to join into a central federation, which aimed at developing the industry by expositions, conferences and collection of

material. In the 1950s, a joint stock company was formed out of a series of dairies, uniting into two rivals *Mejeriselskaberne Danmark* and *Kløver* who later merged to found MD Foods (now Arla Foods) which controls almost all of the Danish milk market. The Danish Crown meat processing company also owes its existence to the cooperative movement.

#### Co-op shops

The cooperative movement also resulted in a series of co-op stores known as *Brugsen*, which were under the administration of the The Danish Consumers Co-operative Society. The stores kept a large share of the Danish consumer goods market. in January 2002, Coop shop merged with retail chains form Coop Norden.

#### Wind mills

The cooperative ownership model for wind mills was developed in Denmark. First for smaller wind mills, later for wind farms. One of the biggest copeartively owned windfarms are at Middelgrunden in Copenhagen and at the Samsø island.

#### **Communities**

In the late 70's and early 80's Collective lifestyle, including cooperative production was very popular. Some of these collectives still exist like Svanholm, which was started in 1978. Freetown Christiania was established in 1971. People living in these communities are often environment conscious, and join the Danish Ecovillage Network.

#### **Co-housing**

Living in co-housing groups with a common ground and common house is relatively common in Denmark. The common house is used for common eatings, common washing machines, meetings and fests. There are 3 types of co-housing groups:

- One type, where the flats/houses are built by a national housing association and people are renting the flats. E.g., Lejerbo has 37,000 apartments. In English this type of housing often referred as social co-housing.
- Another where people are owning the flats/houses and the land and the loan together. In Danish they are called "andelsbolig", and
- Third type, where people own the common house and the land together, but they own their own family houses.

In essence, cooperatives provided market access to a small farmer, who on his own had a negligible bargaining position and who otherwise, would not be able to market his produce. Consequently, the upswing of cooperatives contributed to the development of small holdings.

Direct advantages of cooperative operation included:

- (i) economies of scale on transportation,
- (ii) quality packaging, and
- (iii) regular dispatch of a uniform quality and quantity that can only be achieved through large-scale organisation.

Perfect packing was an absolute necessity in preserving the excellence of butter. Other benefits included regular weekly financial settlement from the dairy. The farmers also received a share in the profits of distribution and thus pocketed profit that would otherwise go to the middlemen. The constitution of the dairy cooperative stipulates that members must for a fixed period, originally up to ten years, recently only few years; bring all their milk, except what they needed for household use, to the cooperative dairy. Heavy fines were imposed for infraction of this rule. Strict rules were laid down relating to proper feeding of the cows, sanitary milking, etc. (Christensen; 1983). This attempt at creating cooperative dairying was an immediate success since better quality butter was produced. With this approach, it became possible to standardise output, and in turn demand higher prices. Technical improvements that upgraded both the quantity and the quality of the butter were introduced. Soon the cooperative dairy butter surpassed the celebrated 'Estate Butter' for which Denmark had been famous and the cooperative movement spread to other sectors (Haagard; 1911).

The farmers awakened to the scientific possibilities of dairy production and of cattle breeding. Among the economies was the skimmed milk, saved for feeding hogs, which consequently stimulated the bacon industry, and thus brought about the opening of cooperative slaughterhouses. This in turn led to the building of cooperative slaughterhouses and pork packing societies.

The first cooperative abattoir was formed in 1887. The cooperative slaughterhouses were organised in similar pattern as the cooperative dairies. Members enter into an agreement to bring all of their hogs to the cooperative slaughterhouse, even though they are offered higher prices elsewhere.

A slaughterhouse or bacon factory on cooperative lines has following advantages:

- (i) the commission paid to dealers is saved,
- (ii) the difficulties experienced by existing slaughterhouses in disposing of the offal become reduced when the cooperators became interested in its utilisation,
- (iii) the cooperators would share between them all the profit.

The cooperative movement also has a characteristic history in the cooperative egg export society. Price of eggs shows great fluctuation. The temptation to hold back eggs in autumn before selling and shipping them was therefore very great, and to this temptation many of those who handled the eggs in Denmark in the 19<sup>th</sup> century i.e. 1880s and 1890s of the century succumbed. As Denmark exported a significant portion of eggs to Great Britain, there were many middlemen between the hen and the English consumer. The farmers, or rather the farmer's wives, gradually learnt to increase their profit by holding back the eggs one or more weeks during the latter half of the year. The hucksters who collected eggs from the farmers were equally clever, and the merchants, who bought from the hucksters or had their own collectors, likewise tried to improve their position by the same short sighted policy. The result was that more and more Danish eggs arrived in England in a bad condition. The means of detecting stale eggs were less developed in those days, and thus serious complaints from large English importers were received by the Royal Agricultural Society of Denmark in 1889. The Society tried to influence the farmers and local buyers of eggs by means of reports in the agricultural and local daily papers, explaining how dishonest it was to sell stale and kept eggs as fresh, however without an impact.

The merchants and shippers were also in difficulty, as if they refused to pay farmers for eggs manifestly kept or even partly spoiled, farmers would sell to competitors, and they would not receive any eggs themselves, neither fresh nor bad. The price for eggs, as delivered by the farmers, had therefore to be fixed so as to allow for incurred losses. Fresh eggs were, therefore, during about one-half of the year quoted considerably below their real value.

Local societies emerged as a response to this unsatisfactory state, the members of which bound themselves to deliver their eggs weekly and never to send any stale eggs. This was a step in the right direction, but had only a local application, and did not influence the trade and export of eggs as a whole. In 1894 an attempt was made by the Agricultural Society of Horsens to get the egg producers all over Jutland to combine to form one large society for the improvement of the egg trade. The

society was formed, but failed to secure the necessary support. The same result followed similar attempts at other places.

In order to get egg-producers interested in the egg trade, it was not enough to ask them to improve the quality of eggs sold to dealers. It was necessary to go the whole length, to do away with the hucksters and agents who travelled the country districts to collect eggs, and to form a society not only for collecting, but also for trading in and exporting eggs. A system of marking eggs was proposed by which it could be seen at once from which producer any egg had been delivered. Society with branches all over the country began operations in April 1895.

Each branch has its distinctive number, and each member has his number with his branch. Before the eggs are delivered to the collector for the branch, each egg is marked by means of a rubber stamp with the number of the member and the number of the branch, and those two numbers prove the origin of the egg, so that when a "spotted" or stale egg is delivered it can be at once be seen who is the offender. At the packing and exporting warehouse the trademark of the society is stamped on each approved egg next to the two numbers. As a result, Danish eggs re-entered the English market and the export of Danish eggs during the first three years of the society's activity has increased sevenfold. Based on the success of cooperatives, the Danish farmers soon found it necessary to carry cooperation a step further, for example to control the distribution of their produce in England, which was the chief market for many Danish agricultural products.

Danish farmers formed a cooperative export association. As cooperation was not confined only to the selling of farm products and buying of merchandise and farm supplies, the improvement societies emerged, such as, cooperative fertilizer plants and canning factories. Another example was the maintenance of cow and swine improvement and breeding societies and seed-testing organisations (Haggard; 1911).

The breeding of cattle, horses, swine and sheep was promoted by cooperative societies. The central societies aim was to improve breeding of farm animals by keeping accounting systems of the quantity of milk produced per cow, its content of butter fat, as well as the relative cost of maintenance. The first central society was established in 1895. Almost every need of the farmer was supplied through one or more organisations of this kind. In addition, there were societies for accident insurance against hail and other storms, and for the insurance of livestock as was observed by *Ortmann & King*(2007).

#### 3.4 Sweden

One third of the Marshall Aid went to agriculture without having to adjust for joint-stock company laws. Following the adoption of the new law, several producer cooperatives were created. By the 1930's, the combination of the Great Depression and crop surpluses resulted in an agricultural crisis as prices hit all-time lows. The government interceded with a number of measures that constituted the root of Sweden's agricultural policies. In 1947 it was decided by the government that crop and food prices would be fixed.

The cooperatives gained strength under Sweden's protective agricultural policies that stimulated both agriculture and cooperation. Currently, there are about 50 agricultural cooperatives in Sweden, mainly focusing on marketing, meat processing, farming and forestry. The rules on market competition in Sweden are laid out in the Swedish Competition Act (SCA). When the SCA was first enforced it contained no exemption rules for cooperatives (Ibid; 1997).

New rules regarding cooperatives came into force in 1994. The SCA provides exemption for cooperative associations as long as they abide by the following rules and the cooperative has the legal form of an association.

Most cooperatives in Sweden abide by the following cooperative principles:

- equal payments for cooperative costs (e.g. distance neutrality),
- one member, one vote, equal prices for member products.
- to enter a cooperative, farmers must meet the quality and environmental requirements of the cooperative and pay a modest fee.
- to leave the cooperative, the farmer must give a written notice in advance (1-6 months) and then he receives his entire equity (with no interest) within a few months if he is retiring, or after a few years if he still farms (Ibid; 1997).

# 3.5 The Important Role Plays by Cooperative Association are as Follow

The association protected the cooperation union and the public from monopoly of essential goods and money.

- 1. It also assists people to learn without a formal teacher.
- 2. It assist in publication of weekly magazine, books on cooperative economics and International affairs.

3. The agricultural cooperative import basic foodstuffs rather than to produce them.

#### 4.0 CONCLUSION

It can be observed that mush discussion on Denmark agricultural cooperative due to available information and the significant lesson derived from the cooperative associations while little discussion on Britain and Sweden

#### 5.0 SUMMARY

Now that we have known the importance of agricultural cooperative in Britain, Denmark and Sweden and understand the significant role play by agricultural cooperative in Britain, Denmark and Sweden and also be able to recognize areas difference and similarity. We shall now go to next unit on success of consumers' cooperative movement in Britain.

#### 6.0 TUTOR MARKED ASSIGNMENT

- 1. Compare and contrast the importance of agricultural cooperative in Britain, Denmark and Sweden.
- 2. Discuss the significant role play by agricultural cooperative in Britain, Denmark and Sweden.

#### 7.0 REFERENCES/FURTHER READINGS

- Birchall, Johnston. (1997) *The International Cooperative Movement*. Manchester, UK: University of Manchester Press,pp
- David, A. S. (1998). Essential Information on Cooperative Credit Societies (CTCS/CICS), Dac-print Publishers, Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Daniel,H(2006),Dictionary of Management, Academic(India)Publishers. New Delhi.
- Encyclopedia of Business, 2nd ed. Reference for Business,
- Epetimehin, F.M,(2006). Understanding the Dynamics of Cooperatives, ICReTI Publishing house, Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Furlough, Ellen, and Carl Strikwerda, eds (1999) Consumers Against Capitalism?: Consumer.
- Cooperation in Europe, North America, and Japan, 1840-1990 Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, G.F Ortmann & R.P King1 (March

2007) Agricultural Cooperatives I: History, Theory and Problems, *Agrekon*, *Vol.* 46, *No* 1.

- Lawal, K. A. A. and Oludimu, O. L (2011), Management Theory, Practices and Focus, Asogun, Published, Ibaban, Nigeria, pp m
- Roy, E. P.(1981), Cooperative: Development, Principles and Management, Interstate Printers and Publishers, INC.USA pp1-110.
- Owojuyigbe, S,(2007), Cooperative Administration and Fieldwork in Nigeria.3<sup>rd</sup> edition, Samlolly Publishers Ibadan, Nigeria, pp
- Oludimu, O. L. and Adedoyin, S.F(1996).Running a more successful cooperative, Triumph Book Publishers, Ijebu Ode, Nigeria.
- "The Co-operative Information Superhighway." *International Cooperative Alliance (ICA).*
- (2011) Available from www.coop.org . Review of International Cooperation, quarterly.
- Cooperatives benefits

http://www.referenceforbusiness.com/encyclopedia/Concos/Cooperatives.html#ixzz1fl8lCHrv "http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Danish\_cooperative\_movement&oldid=460377693"

The page was last modified on 13 November 2011 at 02:13. Available from www.coop.org

# UNIT 2 SUCCESS OF CONSUMERS COOPERATIVE MOVEMENT IN BRITAIN

#### **CONTENTS**

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Objectives
- 3.0 Main Content
  - 3.1 The Consumer Co-operative
  - 3.2 The Effective Establishment of Consumer Societies
  - 3.3 The Factors that Led to Success of Consumers Cooperative Movement in Britain
- 4.0 Conclusion
- 5.0 Summary
- 6.0 Tutor Marked Assignment
- 7.0 References/Further Readings

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

In Britain the ideas of co-operatives assist imperial development agency functions came from influential figures rather than from the formal Co-operative Movement. Notable among these individuals was Earl Grey, who befriended British consumer co-operation but was also a staunch advocate of producer co-operation.

British consumer co-operatives were developed within the British Empire, particularly in its colonies and state-sponsored with varying degrees of government involvement. British consumer co-operation received no government support or sanction and operated within the nation's tax regime.

#### 2.0 OBJECTIVES

At the end of this unit, you should be able to:

- discuss the effect of establishing consumer cooperative in Britain
- discuss the factors that led to pattern of cooperative development in Denmark

#### 3.0 MAIN CONTENT

### 3.1 The Consumer Co-operative

In an early history of the ICA Gide distinguished co-operatives from other economic and political theories. "The programme of the consumers' societies admits capitalism to a certain extent as they are

societies with shares, and as they demand from the members contributions to capital and pay an interest and it does not admit the expropriation, properly so called of the possessing class or at least it desires a different expropriation from that which would be brought about by the play of free competition if someday the co-operative enterprises show themselves superior to capitalist enterprises and get rid of them by their successful development. But these are not the characteristics of collectivist expropriation and, above all, there is this difference, that the essential article of the Socialist programme, which is class conflict, cannot be included in the co-operative programme for the obvious reason that the consumer does not represent any class; he has neither difference of class nor difference of sex; everybody is a consumer... everybody, Socialist or otherwise, has the right of admission to the association and that is a feature which suffices to give the co-operative movement its right of autonomy."

Despite convoluted wording this is a defining statement. Not only did it distinguish cooperative from capitalist economics but also from other political creeds. It also reflected the triumph of consumer theory of cooperation over producer co-operation.

Gide's theories heralded a consumer co-operative literature. Notable works included is the book by Frenchman Ernst Poisson (1882-1942) *Republique Co-operative*, the works by Swede Anders Oerne (1881-1956) including his *Co-operative Ideals and Problems*, and those by American J.P. Warbasse (1866-1957), whose writings included *What is Co-operation?* All assumed the superiority of consumer co-operation. The developed between the proponents of producer and consumer co-operation are those supporting the former were led by Edward Vansittart Neale who was in a pivotal position as General Secretary of the Co-operative Union.

In more agrarian economies, including many parts of the British Empire, consumer cooperation had a far more chequered history. We now turn to consider the kinds of cooperative which emerged in the British Empire.

British consumer co-operatives had benefited from Britain lying at the centre of the "largest empire in the history of the world, comprising nearly a quarter of the land mass of the earth and a quarter of its population." British consumer co-operatives drew raw materials from her Empire and elsewhere which she then manufactured and exported throughout the world, justifying the epithet "workshop of the world". These processes helped to increase British levels of employment and consumption which in turn underpinned the growing consumer co-operative movement. Conversely they tended to inhibit agricultural co-operative development. Britain now found it cheaper to import basic

foodstuffs rather than to produce them. It has been observed that: Dominating the entire structure were British production, British commerce and British financial services, and, it might be added British economic ideology, as free markets and free trade came to be perceived as the wave of the future by enlightened opinion throughout the 'civilised world'.

British consumer co-operatives benefited from imperial patterns of trade. Co-operative greengrocers could justifiably show the "horn of plenty" overflowing with fruit, much of it imported on their paper bags, they also differed from those of early producer or workers' societies which we now turn to consider and which shared their Owenite roots with retail societies.

British producer societies endorsed Owen's dislike of profit if it led to the exploitation of labour; also his belief that labour, as a factor of production should receive a just price. They also had more complex ideological roots. They took much from Owen but added ideas from French utopian socialists such as Fourier and Louis Blanc who along with Owen denounced competitive and capitalist industry. Blanc advocated self-governing workshops that would be organised into self-governing industries. These ideas influenced the Christian Socialists but particularly J.M. Ludlow who persuaded his fellow Christian Socialists to form the Society for the Promotion of Working Men's Associations to encourage the development of democratic workers' productive associations or societies. Their members would elect officers and executive power initially in the hands of a manager would eventually be transferred to the members.

Retail societies shared these ideals when they spoke of "surplus" rather than profit and for many years rewarded their employees with a dividend on wages: this meant that if these workers were also members of their local society they received what became known as a "double dividend". Even so, many co-operators including the Christian Socialists saw producer co-operatives as being the best way of giving worker/members the true rewards of their labour.

#### 3.2 The Effective Establishment of Consumer Societies

**One reason** was that they were likely to be smaller-scale and needed to limit the number of their worker/members to what the business could support. Another was that they tended to appear in crafts whose markets were changing or declining and in any event lacked the homogeneity of retail societies' markets: this may help to explain why they failed to develop a formula for success inherent in the Rochdale practices.

"The Society has for some time past determined to discourage advances of money to bodies of working-men about to start in association unless they have first shown some signs of preparedness for the change from their old life, and have subscribed some funds of their own working-men in general are not fit for association. They come into it with the idea that it is to fill their pockets and lighten their work at once, and that every man in an association is to be his own master.

# 3.3 The Factors that led to Success of Consumers Cooperative Movement in Britain

The factors that led to success of consumers cooperative movement in Britain are as follow:

- The established research institute by Plunkett Foundation in 1919 which became an important charting co-operative development in the British Empire, exchanging co-operative experiences particularly among agricultural movements, and advising governments on appropriate co-operative legislation.
- 2) The British Empire, illustrate the effectiveness of the communications networks.
- 3) The registration, included the promotion, supervision and auditing of co-operatives through Writings of the more notable registrars provide good eye-witness accounts of this kind of co-operative development.
- 4) Establishment of a national credit bank for consumer cooperatives.
- 5) Increased use of highly technical personnel as managers and employees.
- 6) Increased use of auxiliary departments in cooperatives (particularly in regional) for servicing their main enterprises. Examples include auditing, traffic management, membership education, testing and laboratory services and marketing research.

#### 4.0 CONCLUSION

In this unit, we have learn the success of consumer cooperatives in Britain and contributing factors of notable scholars towards the development of cooperative and the ideological struggle been positive effect on cooperative development. Although we gone through the different and similarities in previous unit.

#### 5.0 SUMMARY

This ends unit we studied the success of consumer cooperatives in Britain and contributing factors of notable scholars towards the

development of cooperative and the ideological struggle been positive effect on cooperative development.

#### 6.0 TUTOR MARKED ASSIGNMENT

Discuss consumer cooperative in Britain, the challenges and the factors that led to the success of the societies.

#### 7.0 REFERENCES/FURTHER READINGS

- Birchall, Johnston. (1997) *The International Cooperative Movement*. Manchester, UK: University of Manchester Press, pp.
- David, A. S. (1998). Essential Information on Cooperative Credit Societies (CTCS/CICS), Dac-print Publishers, Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Daniel,H(2006),Dictionary of Management, Academic(India)Publishers. New Delhi.
- Encyclopedia of Business, 2nd ed. Reference for Business
- Epetimehin, F.M,(2006). Understanding the Dynamics of Cooperatives, ICReTI Publishing house Ibadan, Nigeria
- Furlough, Ellen, and Carl Strikwerda, eds (1999) Consumers *Against Capitalism?: Consumer Cooperation in Europe, North America, and Japan, 1840-1990*
- Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, G.F Ortmann & R.P King1 (March 2007) Agricultural Cooperatives I: History, Theory and Problems, Agrekon, Vol 46, No 1
- Roy, E. P.(1981), Cooperative: Development, Principles and Management, Interstate Printers and Publishers, INC.USA pp1-110.
- Oludimu, O. L. and Adedoyin, S.F (1996).Running a more successful cooperative, Triumph Book Publishers, Ijebu Ode, Nigeria.
- Umebali, E.E. (2008), Rural Development and Management, Course Material on MPA769 National Open University of Nigeria, pp36-44.
- "The Co-operative Information Superhighway." *International Cooperative Alliance (ICA).*

(2011) Available from www.coop.org . Review of International Cooperation, quarterly.

### Cooperatives - benefits

http://www.referenceforbusiness.com/encyclopedia/Concos/Cooperatives.html#ixzz1fl8lCHrv "http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Danish\_cooperative\_movement&oldid=460377693".

The page was last modified on 13 November 2011 at 02:13. Available from <a href="https://www.coop.org">www.coop.org</a>.

# UNIT 3 HISTORY OF AGRICULTURAL COOPERATIVE IN U.S.A (AMERICA)

#### **CONTENTS**

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Objectives
- 3.0 Main Content
  - 3.1 Evolution of Cooperatives in the United States
  - 3.2 Post-Civil War to World War I, Effect on Agricultural Cooperation In U.S.A
  - 3.3 Post World War 1 to the 'New Deal' (1933) Effect on Agricultural Cooperative In U.S.A
  - 3.4 Post World War II to the Present Effect on Cooperative In America
  - 3.5 Agricultural Cooperatives in the United States of America
  - 3.6 Trend in Agricultural Cooperatives Large Scale Cooperatives
  - 3.7 Agricultural Credit Cooperatives/Corporations (ACC)
  - 3.8 Agricultural Service Cooperatives
  - 3.9 The Cooperatives Idea in USA
    - 3.9.1 Fishery Cooperatives Limited Resources Agricultural Cooperatives
- 4.0 Conclusion
- 5.0 Summary
- 6.0 Tutor Marked Assignment
- 7.0 References/Further Readings

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The colonists, upon arriving in America, attempted some forms of communal or collective farming. Within a short period of time, these attempts were abandoned in favour of private cultivation and ownership of what was produced. However, this did not restrain the colonists from erecting defences from Indian attacks and from assisting each other in numerous undertakings, such as in land clearing harvesting, barn raising and in many other joint activities. Since about 1988 two phenomena have been occurring in the organization of agricultural cooperatives in the US: (1) the restructuring and consolidation of conventional cooperatives and (2) the emergence of new generation cooperatives (NGCs) (Cook, 1995). NGCs retain many of the characteristics of conventional cooperatives, but they focus on value-added activities. Member capital contributions are linked to product delivery (marketing) rights which attain value and can be transferred, and membership is closed or restricted. These developments suggest that cooperative strategies are becoming more offensive in nature. Cropp (2002)

contends that cooperatives in the US have matured to become a significant force in agriculture, and play an increasing role in influencing national agricultural policies.

#### 2.0 OBJECTIVES

At the end of this unit, you should be able to:

- explain history of America agricultural cooperative
- understand major incident of America agricultural cooperative

#### 3.0 MAIN CONTENT

### 3.1 Evolution of Cooperatives in the United States

In 1752, Benjamin Franklin became perhaps the first American cooperator with the organization of a mutual insurance cooperative called the Philadelphia Contributionship for the Insurance of Houses from Loss by Fire.

In 1785, the cooperative buying and selling of livestock began in Pennsylvania.

In 1794, cordwainers in Baltimore, Maryland, organized a co-op boot and shoe factory which was the forerunner of what are now called 'workers' productive cooperative.

In 1803, the first formal farmers' marketing cooperative was organized in Ohio.

About 1810, two dairy cooperatives were formed which are thought to be the first formal farmers' associations organized in the United States. One cooperative was located at Goshen, Connecticut, and the other at South Trenton, New Jersey. Following these, many different commodity cooperatives were organized throughout the Northeastern States, Cotton Belt, Upper Mississippi Valley and Far West. They were mutual benefit enterprises operating without the advantage of special legislation. While many were short-lived, a few are still in existence. The first mutual savings banks in the United State were organized in 1816, in Boston and Philadelphia, by community leaders who sought to provide a safe repository and an interest-paying one for the small savings of the wage earner. At that time, most commercial banks did not accept savings deposits, and no other type of thrift institution existed.

In 1820, in Ohio, cooperative livestock shipping associations and farmers' mutual insurance groups were organized.

In 1857, a co-operative wheat elevator was organized in Dane County, Wisconsin. Later, co-operative grain elevators were to become a significance facet of farm cooperation in the United States.

As early as 1847, mutual irrigation associations were formed in Utah and California. By 1860, there were 83 such associations in those two states. In 1863, a fertilizer buying cooperative was organized in Riverhead, New York, and in 18a fruit marketing cooperative formed in New Jersey.

# 3.2 Post-Civil War to World War I, Effect on Agricultural Cooperation in U.S.A

After the Civil War, consumer prices rose rapidly. This encouraged the formation of several hundred consumer cooperatives in the Middle West and Northeast, but by 1870 most of them had been disbanded. The business decline and unemployment during that period hurt consumer cooperatives.

In 1871, the Chicago Printers Co-operative Association served some of the economic needs of several small printers. In 1877, a group of New York City druggists pooled some of their drugs orders to reduce costs, cooperatives are considered to have been the first businessmen's cooperatives in the United States.

Between 1880 and 1890, scattered cooperatives for marketing farm products were organized. Some of them are still in existence. A few examples include: co-op elevators established at Watson, Minnesota in 1886; Marcus, Iowa, in 1887 and at Rockwell, Iowa, in 1889; fruit marketing cooperatives in California, Florida, New Jersey and New York; livestock marketing cooperatives in the Middle East; a wool growers' cooperative in Indiana; a tobacco growers' cooperative in New England and a co-op cotton gin in Texas. By 1890, there were about 1,000 active farmer cooperatives. Over 700 of these were handling dairy products; about 100m grain; and about 100, fruits and vegetables. The development of credit unions began in 1906 in Manchester, New Hampshire, with the organization of a credit union with Roman Catholic parishioners. Alphonse Desjardins of Quebec helped organize the first credit union in the United State. Massachusetts passed the first credit union act in 1909.

# 3.3 Post World War 1 to the 'New Deal' (1933) Effect on Agricultural Cooperative in U.S.A

The development of farmer cooperatives during this period fits logically into four area.

- 1. Reorganizations and consolidation of local cooperatives
- 2. Organization and expansion of regional cooperatives
- 3. Formation of state-wide and national organizations.
- 4. Structure meeting of the needs of members

With the arrival of Franklin Roosevelt and the "New Deal" in 1933, federal legislation with regards to cooperatives became abundant. For example, the Rural Electrification Act was passed in 1936 to aid rural areas in obtaining electricity cooperatively. A federal credit union act was passed which permitted the Federal Chartering of credit unions. Provisions for short-term agricultural credit on a co-operative basis were enacted into law in 1933. The federal government enabled farmers to procure short-term credit cooperatively government themselves were provided a co-operative banking system. The Marketing Agreements Act of 1937 enabled farmers to vote-in marketing orders aimed at facilitating the marketing milk, fruits and vegetables, among other commodities.

In one significant aspect, the "New Deal" did not foster cooperatives. This was in acreage allotments and price support programs. Whereas the Hoover Administration had relied heavily upon the Federal Farm Board and large-scale commodity co-operative "New Deal" rejected this approach. It moved more in the area of direct federal intervention in acreage, output, marketing and price controls over agriculture. In retrospect, this was perhaps a fatal mistake. This federal government might have been better off and society might have been better served if less federal intervention had occurred and greater reliance had been placed on farmers' purchasing marketing and servicing associations. Of course, this question will never be settled, and opinions differ on the probable results.

# 3.4 Post World War II to the Present effect on Cooperative in America

Between 1945 and 1955, farmer cooperatives in the United State showed only moderate growth. Reasons for this included:

- (1) Inflationary times made for "easy" and "cheap" money;
- (2) Farm prices rose faster than costs, and thus net incomes were good;
- (3) Growth of federal support programs lessened the need for farmer cooperation;
- (4) Migratory shifts in farm population caused re-arrangement of rural society, making co-op organization difficult; and
- (5) More vocal antagonism against farmer cooperatives arose, especially on the income tax issue.

Since 1955, famer cooperatives have demonstrated a better rate of growth. The co-operative idea re-established itself as costs rose faster than prices, government programs became disillusioning, and new farmer leadership emerged. According to the Farmer Cooperative Service, the values of co-op marketing have increased at a faster rate than those of agricultural marketing of all farmers. The values of farmers' acquisitions of supplies and equipment through cooperatives have also increased at a faster rate than expenditures for supplies and equipment of all farmers.

Perhaps the most significant co-op development since World War II has been the organization of retailer-owned wholesale cooperatives such as co-op food, hardware, drug and lumber retailers. This development holds great potential for the future.

# 3.5 Agricultural Cooperatives in the United States of America

US have been designed especially for the incorporation of agricultural cooperatives.

No other type of cooperative has as good as legal basis for chartering as does the farmer cooperative. Much credit in this respect is due to the U.S. Department of Agriculture, various farm organizations, co-op councils and others who provided the leadership in getting adequate and uniforms farm co-op statute in all 50 states.

States do differ in at least one respect with regard to voting provisions in farm cooperative statutes. Some 19 states permit voting on other than a one-man, one-vote basis. California, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Carolina, Vermont and Washington.

Farmer cooperative contribute to the material and spiritual prosperity of agriculture as well as to the total national economy. Farmer cooperatives, both large and small, are simply organizations of individual farmers which carry on essential functions needed by our farmers and by our society as a whole. Farmer cooperatives enjoy no special privileges or favours denied by law to any other group or organization which may elect to accept the same restrictions that are self-imposed by the members of cooperatives.

There are some 26,000 agricultural cooperatives of all types in the United State These types consist of agricultural bargaining, credit, marketing, service, supply, fire mutual, fishery and Indian cooperatives, among others, that are agricultural oriented.

The American Agricultural Marketing Association (AAMA) was incorporated in January 1960 under the Illinois Cooperative law. AAMA serves as the national coordinating and information agency for some 45 States Farm Bureaus marketing association. Membership dues and services fees are the sources of funds for this organization. AAMA was set up to help state affiliates carry out marketing programs and develop new ones to meet the many changes occurring in agricultural marketing although bargaining has been given the most attention. Marketing cooperatives in the United State serve over two of every three farmers in the United States who market produce through some cooperative.

Agricultural marketing cooperatives are organized for the collective marketing of members' produce. They market a growing share of the value of all farm products in the United States.

These marketing cooperatives range in size from small local organization to powerful centralized or federated groups which have sales representatives in numerous domestic and foreign markets and handle considerable portions of the respective agricultural produce. Many marketing cooperatives engage in processing of commodities produced by the members, such as freezing and canning, butter-making and other forms of processing. Many have developed great volume and strength, both domestic and foreign.

The number of agricultural marketing cooperatives has declined as many associations have merged and smaller ones liquidated. With the decrease in the number of farmers in the United States, co-op memberships also have declined. However, it is believed that the proportion of all farmers belonging to marketing cooperatives has increased. Despite the declines in co-operative numbers and in membership, co-operative marketing business volume has increased steadily year by year. Dairy products account for roughly one-third of total co-operative marketing. Next in importance are the marketing's of grain and soybeans, followed by livestock and livestock products. Other important co-operative marketings are nuts, fruits and vegetables, cotton and cotton products, poultry products, sugar products, rice and tobacco. Marketing cooperatives are becoming more vertically integrated by increasing their ownership and control of facilities beyond the firstbuyer level. Many of them are moving to the retail store level by consumer packaging and product branding and promotion. Greater use of mass media in advertising is also underway.

In earlier years, farmers were satisfied with organizing local handling, processing and marketing association. With the advent of urbanization, better and more rapid transit, broadening markets extending internationally and more rapid communication processes, the thrust of

modern Marketing Corporation is to integrate vertically additional steps in the marketing distribution process. This thrust may tend to require large and more heavily capitalized marketing association.

# 3.6 Trend in Agricultural Cooperatives Large Scale Cooperatives

There are nine farmers' cooperatives in the United States, each with sales volume about \$200 million dollars annually. These are (with headquarters office). Associated Milk Producers, Inc. (Texas); Land O' Lakes Inc. (Minnesota); Farmland Industries, Inc. (Missouri); Agway, Inc. (New York); Gold Kist, Inc. (Georgia); Dairylea Cooperative, Inc. (New York); Farmers Union Central Exchange, Inc Minnesota); Midland Cooperative (Minnesota) and CF Industries (Illinois). Most of these are both supply and marketing cooperatives.

A Farmer Cooperative Service study of co-operatives business growth reported the following:

- 1. The great majority of cooperative businesses are small 40 percent did less than one-half million dollars of business annually, 22 percent did between one-half million dollars and \$1 million and 31 percent did \$1 million to \$5 million annually. Only 7 percent, or 386 associations, did more than \$5 million of business a year.
- 2. Total marketing and supply sales of the 10 largest cooperatives were only 13 percent of total sales of the 10 largest for-profit businesses. Cooperatives' net margins, assets and net worth were only 4 to 6 percent of those for the other firms.
- 3. Actual growth of the four largest cooperatives in each of 11 selected categories was appreciably less during the past 20 years than the growth of the four largest for-profit firms. The profit businesses, for example, had 7 times greater sales, 22 times greater net assets, 25 times more net worth and 18 times greater net margins than the cooperatives during this period.

A comparison of cooperative trends with total related business in the agricultural economy, as well as the Gross National Product, indicates:

- 1. Cooperatives are continuing to make favourable growth in relation to similar sectors of the agribusiness economy. Both cooperatives and the agribusiness economy, however, have been increasing at a decreasing rate during the past 5 years.
- 2. Cooperative growth, like trends in farm cash receipts and expenditures, lags behind the growth of the economy as a whole (GNP).

Generally speaking, farmer cooperatives are still rather small business undertakings compared with firms they buy from and sell. With the trend to fewer but larger farms, higher capitalization and more exacting market requirements, it is easy to see why cooperation in agriculture will expand. Number-wise, farmer cooperatives may not expand greatly, but volume-wise their gains should be significant. Much, of course, will depend on the federal government's farm policies. If a more centralized and paternalistic federal government evolves, cooperation will be less in favour. If the federal government should lessen its involvement in agriculture, cooperation will take up much of the slack. The choice is up to the farmers themselves and the citizenry through their elected representatives. There are many phases in agriculture which need additional co-operative organizations, such as:

- a) Farm and home chemicals;
- b) Forestry;
- c) Machinery and equipment;
- d) Foreign trade;
- e) Farm product utilization;
- f) Rural recreational facilities;
- g) Farm custom services; and
- h) Contract bargaining.

This is not to underestimate the economic need for more cooperation in the traditional areas of agricultural supplies, production, marketing and services. Farmer cooperatives especially are concerned with foreign trade expansion. Rice, feed grains, fruits, vegetables, cotton, wheat, broilers and many other commodities have a large stake in foreign trade. Efforts on a co-operative basis to expand trade in the future should prove rewarding.

At the same time, cooperative buying in foreign markets will be important. Many retailers consumer stores and farm supply cooperatives will need to purchase foreign-made good cooperatively in order to retain competitive here at home. Farm supply cooperatives, for example, have found that imported steel products are considerably cheaper than domestic steel products. Since it is the cooperatives' job to provide their farm customers with economical supply, they often use imported products. Trading arrangements with foreign cooperatives might also be helpful. U.S. cooperatives need to federate into larger foreign trade coop groups to achieve economies of size and market power necessary in the realm of foreign trade whether for marketing or purchasing.

### 3.7 Agricultural Credit Cooperatives/Corporations (ACC)

Agricultural credit cooperatives in the United State consist of federal land bank associations, rural credit unions, production credit association, banks for cooperatives and agricultural credit corporations.

Agricultural Credit Corporation, statutes are infrequent because farmers can adequately organize, under federal law, in a production credit associations and credit unions to supply their short-term credit needs. Cooperatives agricultural credit corporations are found in cotton, livestock, poultry and fruit and vegetable enterprises, among others. These credit cooperatives take the notes pledged then as collateral for monies loaned to farmers and discount these notes with the Federal Intermediate Credit Banks. In this manner, short-term funds flow more freely to farmers and aid them in financing crop and livestock products. However, agricultural credit corporation laws are useful state statutes because, in the future, credit needs of farmers will become more acute and agricultural credit corporations will become more important. This is especially true in the case of vertical integration and contract farming.

### 3.8 Agricultural Service Cooperatives

Included in agricultural service cooperatives are artificial breeding and dairy herd improvement associations; irrigation and grazing associations; and trucking, storing, machinery, freezing and other servicing organizations.

As farming becomes more specialized and in need of greater services, both for input and output, it appears that cooperatives could help fill some of these needs. For example, leasing by cooperatives to farmers of expensive, specialized machinery might be feasible as well as the customized performance by cooperatives of specialized tasks which could reduce farmers' costs compared with doing these tasks individually.

# 3.9 The Cooperatives Idea in USA

The idea for a cooperative may originate from several sources, among which are:

- 1. Experience of other cooperatives;
- 2. Suggestions from co-operative specialists; Trade sources, including journals.
- 3. Individuals in the community proposing a cooperative as a solution to some existing problems;

4. Community and/or area surveys dealing with economic development or other management or marketing problems;

- 5. Addresses by co-operative specialists or co-op leaders on the possibilities of cooperation;
- 6. Essay contests and other educational activities dealing with cooperatives; and
- 7. Organizational efforts of larger cooperatives, especially regional associations wishing to extend operational in a virgin area.

# 3.9.1 Fishery Cooperatives Limited Resources Agricultural Cooperatives

Cooperatives influence the marketing of a far greater proportion of the catch. In many instances, they become the "yardstick" for marketing organization in their segment of the industry. Other firms must meet their competition and trade on the same terms in order to stay in business. This also holds in reverse. Cooperatives must do as good a marketing job as other businesses in order for cooperatives to survive. Low income, limited resources persons in rural farm and rural non-farm areas are engaged in many types of cooperatives efforts. Usually, they are more apt to organize cooperatives dealing with vegetable and feeder pig marketing arts and crafts; farm supplies; self-help housing; farm machinery and fishing boat partnerships, among others.

#### 4.0 CONCLUSION

The trends in co-operative development have become noticeable in the United State since World War II to the present day Cooperatives, particularly regional, controlling more and more functions in the marketing system. Marketing cooperatives are processing farm products more completely and are carrying them nearer to the final consumer. Purchasing cooperatives are going into manufacturing and are carrying their activities nearer to the source of raw materials. Also, cooperatives are expanding 'run one nation to another, otherwise known as multinational co-operatives. Also increase emphasis on farmers' bargaining cooperatives to enable farm groups to bargain more effectively with larger nonfarm buying firms recognitions given cooperatives by small business men. In earlier days, these men opposed cooperatives and regarded them as a threat. In recent years, they have come to see cooperatives as a bulwark against their possible liquidation and bankruptcy.

Increase activity toward mergers to reduce the number of small cooperatives and to expand coordination among cooperatives.

#### 5.0 SUMMARY

In summary, the efforts increased to modernize plants and equipment and to upgrade managerial performance of directors, management and employees of cooperatives. Increased attention paid by cooperatives to foreign trade and to the organization of cooperatives in underdevelopment nations and increased attention to co-op member involvement, participation and control over their cooperatives including redemption of their equities in a more timely fashion. More active involvement by cooperatives in the political process as the scope of government regulation and monitoring increased.

#### 6.0 TUTOR MARKED ASSIGNMENT

Discuss the evolution and historical analysis of USA agricultural cooperative.

#### 7.0 REFERENCES/FURTHER READINGS

- Birchall, Johnston. (1997) *The International Cooperative Movement*. Manchester, UK: University of Manchester Press, pp
- David, A. S. (1998). Essential Information on Cooperative Credit Societies (CTCS/CICS), Dac-print Publishers, Ibadan, Nigeria
- Daniel,H(2006),Dictionary of Management, Academic(India)Publishers. New Delhi.
- Encyclopedia of Business, 2nd ed. Reference for Business
- Epetimehin, F.M,(2006). Understanding the Dynamics of Cooperatives,
- ICReTI Publishing house Ibadan, Nigeria
- Furlough, Ellen, and Carl Strikwerda, eds (1999) Consumers Against Capitalism?: Consumer Cooperation in Europe, North America, and Japan, 1840-1990
- Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, G.F Ortmann & R.P King1 (March 2007) Agricultural Cooperatives I: History, Theory and Problems, Agrekon, Vol 46, No 1
- Roy, E. P. (1981), Cooperative: Development, Principles and Management, Interstate Printers and Publishers, INC.USA pp1-110.

Oludimu, O. L. and Adedoyin, S.F(1996).Running a more successful cooperative, Triumph Book Publishers, Ijebu Ode, Nigeria.

The Co-operative Information Superhighway." *International Cooperative Alliance (ICA) (2011)* Available from www.coop.org . *Review of International Co-operation*, quarterly.

### Cooperatives - benefits

http://www.referenceforbusiness.com/encyclopedia/Concos/Cooperatives.html#ixzz1fl8lCHrv"http://en.wikipedia.org/w/i ndex.php?title=Danish\_cooperative\_movement&oldid=46037769 3"

The page was last modified on 13 November 2011 at 02:13. Available from www.coop.org .

# UNIT 4 PROBLEMS OF AGRICULTURAL COOPERATIVE IN U.S.A

#### **CONTENTS**

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Objectives
- 3.0 Main Content
  - 3.1 The Initial Problems they Encountered and Solutions Proffer to Such Problems
  - 3.2 Problems Inherent in Conventional Cooperatives
  - 3.3 Future of Agricultural Cooperatives?
- 4.0 Conclusion
- 5.0 Summary
- 6.0 Tutor-Marked Assignment
- 7.0 References/Further Readings

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Modern agricultural cooperatives need considerable amounts of capital. Theoretically the financing of cooperatives has been identified as one problem area for their future success. In part, the difficulties associated with raising capital are asserted to stem from heterogeneity among cooperative members, not the least of which is the so-called horizon problem. Here a number of potential heterogeneity dimensions are empirically investigated, in relation to financing and ownership of cooperatives.

#### 2.0 OBJECTIVES

At the end of this unit, you should be able to:

- examine the difficulties of agricultural cooperatives
- understand the strengths and weaknesses as cooperative business organizations.

#### 3.0 MAIN CONTENT

# 3.1 The Initial Problems they encountered and Solutions Proffer to such Problems

Iliopoulos and Cook (1999: 78). He also considered avoidance of the holdup problem, by internalizing crucial transactions, as a main benefit of a cooperative structure. Hansmann (cited by Iliopoulos and Cook, 1999), studied alternative organizational arrangements and governance

structures, including agricultural cooperatives, using a transaction cost theory of ownership as his framework, and argued that alternative institutional arrangements have developed in order to minimize the transaction costs of ownership and contractual arrangements. Iliopoulos and Cook (1999: 79) also refer to the "growing theoretical and empirical literature on new generation cooperatives". Although cooperatives have served, and are serving, an important function for many farmers, problems inherent in conventional cooperatives have given rise to doubts about the sustainability of these cooperatives and sometimes to the establishment of other forms of business organization. These problems or weaknesses are discussed in the next section.

### 3.2 Problems Inherent in Conventional Cooperatives

Much research has focused on the problems inherent in the traditional cooperative organizational form that create disadvantages for cooperative members (e.g., Vitaliano, 1983; Porter and Scully, 1987; Cook, 1995; Royer, 1999). Cook (1995) presents five core problems, also discussed by Royer (1999), namely the free rider, horizon, portfolio, control, and influence cost problems.

#### **Control problem**

Any organization in which ownership and control are separate will, to some extent, experience principal-agent problems due to divergence of interests between the principal (e.g., cooperative members and their representative board of directors) and the agent (management) (Cook, 1995). Preventing this divergence of interests may be more of a problem in conventional cooperatives "because of the absence of a market for exchanging equity shares and the lack of equity-based management incentive mechanisms available to other firms" (Royer, 1999: 55). The absence of an equity market for cooperative shares means that members are not able to monitor their cooperative's value or evaluate managers' performance. The lack of equity incentive schemes for managers may be a disadvantage for cooperatives to attract and retain good managers, and may provide managers with an incentive to convert their cooperatives into IOFs. Royer (1999) also points out that restricted cooperative membership to producers can contribute to the control problem in that production-oriented boards of directors are increasingly limited in monitoring the performance of managers as the cooperative expands and becomes more consumer-oriented. Specialists serving on the board or as managers may need to be employed to better manage the changing circumstances and for the cooperatives to better compete with other business organizations. However, restrictions on membership may prevent this. Nevertheless, Iliopoulos and Cook (1999: 80) refer to studies which "argue that in cooperatives of relatively small size, characterized by singleness of purpose and homogeneous membership (in terms of individual members' interests), the control problem may be less serious than in IOFs of similar size". They cite Hansmann, who maintained that cooperative board members have the opportunity and vital interest to closely monitor management because the cooperative accounts for most of their income.

#### Free-rider problem

The free-rider problem emerges when property rights are untradable, insecure, or unassigned (Cook, 1995). Royer (1999: 56) referred to it as "a type of common property problem that emerges when property rights are not tradeable or are not sufficiently well defined and enforced to ensure that individuals bear the full cost of their actions or receive the full benefits they create." Both internal and external free-rider problems are often associated with conventional cooperatives. With regard to the internal free-rider problem (the common property problem), since the rights to residual claims in a traditional cooperative are linked to patronage instead of investment, new members receive the same patronage and residual rights as existing members although the new members are not required to make up-front investments proportionate to their use. The general tendency of the free-rider problem then is to encourage decisions that increase cash flows per member. This creates a disincentive for existing members to invest in their cooperative because of the dilution of their returns (Vitaliano, 1983; Cook, 1995; Royer, 1999). An external free-rider problem "is created whenever a cooperative provides its members with collective goods characterized by de facto unfeasibility of exclusion. The result is usually no or suboptimal provision of these goods" (Iliopoulos and Cook, 1999: 80). Examples include where a non-member producer benefits from the terms of trade negotiated by a cooperative, or where the value of a cooperative processing facility is capitalized into the value of a nearby non-member's farm (Cook, 1995; Royer, 1999).

#### Horizon problem

This problem arises "when a member's residual claim on the net income generated by an asset is shorter than the productive life of that asset" (Cook, 1995:11). The member is, therefore, likely to under-invest in the asset because the return he receives is less than the return generated by the asset. Conventional cooperatives suffer from the horizon problem due to the structure of the rights to residual claims, which are distributed to members as current payments. The benefits a member receives from an investment are, therefore, limited to the time period (horizon) over which the member expects to patronize the cooperative (Vitaliano, 1983; Royer, 1999). A consequence of this is that cooperatives will tend to

under-invest in assets with long-term payoffs (e.g., research and development, and marketing). Boards of directors and managers are, therefore, under pressure to increase current payments to members instead of investing in additional assets, and to accelerate equity redemptions at the expense of retained earnings (Cook, 1995; Royer, 1999).

### **Influence cost problem**

"Influence costs are those costs associated with activities in which members or groups within an organization engage in an attempt to influence the decisions that affect the distribution of wealth or other benefits within an organization" (Royer, 1999: 56). Cook (1995) argues that in a cooperative involved in a wide range of activities, diverse objectives among its members can result in costly influence activities. These costs can include both the direct costs of influence activities and the costs of poor decisions in terms of misallocation of resources.

The size of influence costs depends on: the existence of a central authority with the ability to influence the distribution of costs and benefits to members, the procedures that dictate decision making, and the degree of homogeneity or conflict among members (Cook, 1995; Royer, 1999; citing Milgrom and Roberts, 1990). Cooperatives may experience greater influence costs than other forms of organization because "the interests of cooperative members, which are linked to individual farm production activities, are more diverse than the interests of corporate stockholders, who share a common objective of maximizing wealth" (Royer, 1999: 56).

#### Portfolio problem

Cook (1995: 1157) refers to this as "another equity acquisition problem" from the cooperative's perspective. This problem occurs in conventional cooperatives because members "invest in the cooperative in proportion to their use and because equity shares in the cooperative generally cannot be freely purchased or sold. Therefore, members are unable to diversify their individual investment portfolios according to their personal wealth and preferences for risk taking" (Royer, 1999: 55). This leads to suboptimal investment portfolios, and cooperative members who have to accept more risk than they prefer will pressure the board of directors and managers to reorganize the cooperative's investment portfolios to reduce risk, even if this means lower expected returns (Cook, 1995). Royer (1995, 1999) contends that cooperative members have to carry these risks alone because potential outside investors, who could diversify the risks, are generally excluded from investing in a cooperative. This problem is exacerbated if a member's investment in

the cooperative represents a high proportion of his off-farm investment and to the extent that his farming risks are positively correlated with the risks associated with the cooperative.

In addition other reasons are an indication of market failure, either due to market power or due to the inability or lack of willingness of IOFs to provide necessary goods and services.

Difficulties Faced by agricultural Cooperatives during Formation Starting a new business, whether the business will be a cooperative or not, is not easy. In case of a cooperative, perhaps this task is more complicated because of their unique features such as their methods of allocating net income, handling equity, and selecting board members. The problems faced by the agricultural cooperatives may be an effective way to prepare cooperative farmers (entrepreneurs) for potential problems they may face when they try to form a cooperative. The survey results show that raising equity was the most important problem overall during the formation stage of these agricultural cooperatives. The agricultural cooperatives also had problems raising of debt-capital (ranked 2nd overall) and developing a marketing plan (ranked 3rd overall). These are clearly serious Road blocks for any kind of business trying to establish itself and succeed. However, a sound marketing plan will, or at least should, make solving the capital problems easier.

The common problem among farmers (entrepreneurs) and underscores the need for agricultural business oriented training and education for members.

The following problems were common in the agricultural cooperatives:

- (i) finding member leaders,
- (ii) developing a marketing plan,
- (iii) raising equity,
- (iv) raising debt capital,
- (iv) deciding what products/services to offer,
- (v) finding a good location,
- (vi) support from trade associations,
- (vii) knowledge about cooperatives, and
- (viii) knowledge about legal issues related to cooperatives.

Four of the nine common problems above were ranked as the top four difficulties faced by cooperative entrepreneurs during formation stage observed by G. F Ortmann & R.P King1(*March* 2007).

Another important problem identified was the problems of obtaining information on legal issues related to cooperatives. A common dilemma

faced by today's cooperatives is how to form a board of directors that is capable of running a business in today's competitive world without compromising cooperative principles, policies, or laws governing the cooperative organization. This is where training and education for cooperative board members, management, and employees become very important.

The problems currently faced by the agricultural cooperatives was Competition in their major market or trade area was the most difficult factor of business for the respondents, followed closely by the difficulty associated with balancing the sometimes conflicting interests of cooperative members. While the first difficulty is generally common to all businesses, the second is very specific to cooperatives.

For example, in today's competitive market, most cooperatives face the often conflicting choices of running the cooperative like an to maximize returns, or be more member service oriented (Cobia and Dunn 1998). These two often conflicting choices make operating today's cooperative business more difficult.

Among other problems currently faced by the non-agricultural cooperatives, the following problems were highly ranked, and indicate changing political (Crime. Competition, Balancing member interests) and market environments, support from government agencies - for instance, budget cuts at both federal and state levels are affecting the ability of government agencies to provide business grants or loans, the dynamic business environment, exploiting their strengths and minimizing their weaknesses. (e.g., Rural Business and Cooperative Development Services of the United States Department of Agriculture. Among the weaknesses identified by the agricultural cooperative respondents, their inability to recruit board members, marketing their product or service, and availability of long-term debt capital topped the list.

The agricultural cooperative faced various difficulties during their formation stages as well as during current operations. Raising equity was the most difficult problem faced by these cooperatives during formation. The second most difficult problem was raising debt capital. Among other important problems faced by the cooperative during the formation stage was developing suitable marketing and business plans and obtaining information on legal issues related to cooperatives.

Although on average the cooperatives still face difficulties in operating their business. These agricultural cooperative most frequently reported problems with maintaining their marketing plan, identifying and selecting a board of directors (in principle, such members must come

from the cooperative membership only), maintaining and managing cash flow, and identifying cooperative leaders. While some of these problems, such as maintaining or managing cash flow, are common to all kinds of business, cooperatives have some special problems, such as identifying and selecting a board of directors. Training and education for cooperative board members, management, and employees were considered as the most important and necessary services for success of cooperatives.

Among other important problems faced by these agricultural cooperative during their formation stage was developing suitable marketing and business plans and obtaining information on legal issues related to cooperatives. Providing such information at the least possible cost (or free of cost) to farmers (entrepreneurs) interested in opening a cooperative form of business may reduce their burden substantially. Perhaps not surprisingly, such training and education were considered as the most important and necessary services for success of cooperatives by the respondents in this study. Among the weaknesses identified by the agricultural cooperative their ability to recruit board members, marketing their product or service, and availability of long-term debt topped the list. As mentioned before, while some of these weaknesses are specific to cooperatives, others are common to all types of businesses.

Thus, market failure combined with members' desire and ability to pool their resources through a cooperative form of business resulted in the formation of most of the non-agricultural cooperatives.

In this regard, agricultural cooperatives may have less problem because traditional financial institutions are familiar with agricultural cooperatives. Moreover, there are specialized financial institutions (e.g., CoBank) that finance agricultural cooperatives only.

The five problems inherent in a traditional cooperative raise the question whether cooperatives can survive in, or adapt to, a rapidly changing economic and political environment. Even though cooperatives may have initially served a useful purpose, some authors hypothesize that, due to their inherent weaknesses (attributable to their property rights constraints), conventional cooperatives will have to exit or reorganize as the market evolves (Royer, 1999). Cook (1995) postulated a five-stage cooperative life cycle that seeks to explain the formation, growth, and eventual decline of a cooperative. As the cooperative matures and the members become increasingly aware of the inherent problems as well as the cooperating benefits that may be lost if operations ceased, members and their leadership will have to consider their long-term strategic options (tradeoffs between the benefits and costs) and decide whether to

exit, continue, or convert into another business form. Cook (1995) suggests that under the exit option, a cooperative has two alternatives available, namely, to liquidate the business or to restructure. Schrader (1989) contends that poor-performing cooperatives opt to liquidate or merge with other cooperatives, while high performing cooperatives restructure. Jacobson (1992) points out that the reason leaders of milk cooperatives in Ireland gave for converting to opt to liquidate or merge was that additional capital was required and members were unwilling to invest that additional capital.

#### 3.3 Future of Agricultural Cooperatives?

Schrader (1989) felt that cooperative principles and practices placed capital constraints on growth, Jacobsen (1992) argued that the failure to effectively implement these principles and practices was the reason.

Porter and Scully (1987) also conclude that cooperatives were less efficient than opt to liquidate or merge and that their relative inefficiency was due to the inherent weakness in their property rights structure.

They further argue that cooperatives survive, despite their relative inefficiency, because of free services provided by the USDA, favourable tax treatment, and favourable credit terms. Cook (1995) argues that two phenomena were occurring in agricultural cooperatives in the US, namely,

- a. conventional cooperatives were adjusting to their property rights constraints by exiting, restructuring, and shifting to other organizational forms (these changes appeared to have helped to increase cooperatives' market share growth since 1988); and
- b. a dramatic growth in NGCs. King (1995) feels that the greatest strength of cooperatives is their ability to generate institutional innovations that allow them to respond to changing conditions and needs. He continues that much can be learned by simply observing and describing the formation, evolution, and operation of successful cooperatives.

It was also found that the degree of success of these cooperatives was independent of their open- or closed- membership policy.

It is apparent that these agricultural cooperatives possessed some important factors or ingredients necessary for success in business as their strengths (e.g., good management quality). To be able to achieve such a balance, agricultural cooperatives will need cooperative leaders with foresight, who understand the business world as well as the

cooperative culture, who will be able to strike a balance between the interest of the members as well as that of the board of directors and/or management, and who will be able to build on the strengths of the cooperative organization.

Not surprisingly, finding such leaders was identified as one of the major problems by the agricultural cooperatives in this study. Perhaps the old adage 'where there is a will, there is a way to sums up the tasks ahead for these agricultural cooperatives. As for the potential cooperative entrepreneurs, they should try to find ways to overcome and/or avoid difficulties faced by these agricultural cooperatives during their formation and current stages of operation.

The agricultural cooperatives will also identified several aspects of their businesses as their strengths such as identified customer relations, work force quality, being provider of a unique product or service, trust among members, low member turnover, board management relations, and management quality as major strengths.

These agricultural cooperatives will also clearly identified their role as cooperatives, e.g., they will be able to reduce members' cost of doing business, or they will be filling an important void by providing unavailable goods and services, or they were able to help members remain independent, among others.

#### 4.0 CONCLUSION

Cooperatives have played an important role in the development of agriculture in industrialized countries as suppliers of farming requisites, marketers of agricultural commodities, and providing services such as gain storage and transport. It appears that many of these agricultural cooperatives are adapting their operations to the rapidly changing economic environment characterized by technological change, industrialization of agriculture and growing individualism

#### 5.0 SUMMARY

This unit has also showed that for the continued success of the agricultural cooperatives will require external facilitation and assistance to overcome their organizational and management problems. This is where various public institutions, such as government agencies and land-grant institutions, which have been paying more attention to the agricultural sector and agricultural cooperatives, can make substantial contributions toward agricultural cooperative development efforts. Such public institutions may be able to allocate some of their resources to meet the needs of the agricultural cooperatives in the form of training,

education, consulting services, etc. and help these cooperatives overcome their difficulties at various stages of their life cycles.

#### 6.0 TUTOR-MARKED ASSIGNMENT

List and explain the problems of agricultural cooperative in USA and solutions to those problems.

#### 7.0 REFERENCES/FURTHER READING

- Birchall, Johnston. (1997) *The International Cooperative Movement*. Manchester, UK: University of Manchester Press, pp
- Barton D (2000). What is a cooperative? Unpublished paper, Kansas State University, USA.
- Birchall J (2005). Co-operative principles ten years on. International Cooperative Alliance, Issue 2, 98(2):45-63. http://www.ica.coop/(accessed on September 1, 2005).
- Cook ML (1995). The future of U.S. agricultural cooperatives: A neoinstitutional approach. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 77(5):1153-1159.
- David, A. S. (1998). Essential Information on Cooperative Credit Societies (CTCS/CICS), Dac-print Publishers, Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Daniel,H(2006),Dictionary of Management, Academic(India)Publishers. New Delhi. Encyclopedia of Business, 2nd ed. Reference for Business
- Epetimehin, F.M,(2006). Understanding the Dynamics of Cooperatives, ICReTI Publishing House Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Furlough, Ellen, and Carl Strikwerda, eds (1999) Consumers Against Capitalism?: Consumer Cooperation in Europe, North America, and Japan, 1840-1990 Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, G.F Ortmann & R.P King1(March 2007) Agricultural Cooperatives I: History, Theory and Problems, Agrekon, Vol 46, No 1 ICA (2005). http://www.ica.coop/ (accessed September 1, 2005).
- Iliopoulos C & Cook ML (1999). The internal organization of the cooperative firm: An extension of a new institutional digest. *Journal of Cooperatives* 14:77-85.

- Jacobson RE (1992). Public limited companies and cooperative principles in Ireland's dairy sector. *Journal of Agricultural Cooperation* 7:52-60.
- King RP (1995). The future of agricultural cooperatives in North America: Discussion. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 77(5):1160-1161.
- Roy, E. P.(1981), Cooperative: Development, Principles and Management, Interstate Printers and Publishers, INC.USA pp1-11.
- Royer JS (1994). Economic nature of the cooperative association: A retrospective appraisal. *Journal of Agricultural Cooperation* 9:86-94.
- Royer JS (1995). Potential for cooperative involvement in vertical coordination and value-added activities. *Agribusiness: An International Journal* 11(5):473-481.
- Royer JS (1999). Cooperative organizational strategies: A neoinstitutional digest. *Journal of Cooperatives* 14:44-67.
- Schrader LF (1989). Equity capital and restructuring of cooperatives as investor oriented firms. *Journal of Agricultural Cooperation* 4:41-.
- Sexton RJ (1984). Perspectives on the development of the economic theory of cooperatives. *Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics* 32(2):423-436.
- Owojuyigbe, S (2007), Cooperative Administration and Fieldwork in Nigeria.3<sup>rd</sup> edition, Samlolly Publishers Ibadan, Nigeria, pp
- Oludimu, O. L. and Adedoyin, S.F(1996).Running a more successful cooperative, Triumph Book Publishers, Ijebu Ode, Nigeria.
- "The Co-operative Information Superhighway." *International Cooperative Alliance (ICA). (2011)* Available from www.coop.org . *Review of International Co-operation*, quarterly.
- Cooperatives-benefits
  - http://www.referenceforbusiness.com/encyclopedia/Concos/Cooperatives.html#ixzz1fl8lCHrv
- "http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Danish\_cooperative\_movem ent&oldid=460377693"

The page was last modified on 13 November 2011 at 02:13. Available from www.coop.org .

 $http://www.sarpn.org.za/documents/d0000786/index.php \ \ (accessed \ \ on \ \ August \ 8, \ 2005).$ 

### UNIT 5 THE ROLE PLAYED BY COOPERATIVES IN INDIAN DEVELOPMENT

#### **CONTENTS**

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Objectives
- 3.0 Main Content
  - 3.1 The Role played by cooperation in Indian development
  - 3.2 The salient features of the cooperatives movements
  - 3.3 The All-India Rural Credit Survey Committee Report
- 4.0 Conclusion
- 5.0 Summary
- 6.0 Tutor-Marked Assignment
- 7.0 References and Further Reading

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

#### BRIEF EVOLUTION OF COOPERATIVES IN INDIA

The cooperative movement in India owes its origin to agriculture and allied sectors. Towards the end of the 19th century, the problems of rural indebtedness and the consequent conditions of farmers created an environment for the chit funds and cooperative societies. The farmers generally found the cooperative movement an attractive mechanism for pooling their meagre resources for solving common problems relating to credit, supplies of inputs and marketing of agricultural produce. The experience gained in the working of cooperatives led to the enactment of Cooperative Credit Societies Act, 1904. Subsequently, a more comprehensive legislation called the Cooperative Societies Act was enacted. This Act, inter alia, provided for the creation of the post of registrar of cooperative societies and registration of cooperative societies for various purposes and audit. Under the Montague-Chelmsford Reforms of 1919, cooperation became a provincial subject and the provinces were authorised to make their own cooperative laws. Under the Government of India Act, 1935, cooperatives were treated as a provincial subject. The item "Cooperative Societies" is a State Subject under entry No.32 of the State List of the Constitution of India.

#### 2.0 OBJECTIVES

At the end of this unit, you should be able to:

- discuss the cooperative development in india
- discuss the salient features of cooperative movement in India

#### 3.0 MAIN CONTENT

#### 3.1 Role Played by Cooperation in Indian Development

Co-operation started in India as a result of untold suffering which the money-lenders inflicted upon the general populace between 1860 and 1880. The first person to introduced Co-operative Societies to India was Lord Wenlook. He was a Governor in India. In 1892, he sent one Mr. Frederick Nicholson to observe and study co-operation in Europe with a view to starting Co-operatives amongst the poor people of India.

The report that Mr. Nicholson submitted to Governor Lord Wenlook in 1901 led to the formation of many Co-operatives thrift and credit Societies amongst the farmers. From then; several people embraced thrifting as a method to provide ahead to meet and credit societies delivered the farmers from burden of indebtedness which they would have continued to pass from generation to generation. After the Second World War, the spread of Co-operative societies in India brought about a lot of development to individual citizens and the country as whole.

The government further embarked mass co-operative education, because they saw it as the easiest to alleviate the people's economic problem. The cooperative sector has been playing a distinct and significant role in the country's process of socio-economic development. There has been a substantial growth of this sector in diverse areas of the economy during the past few decades. The number of all types of cooperatives increased. The cooperatives have been operating in various areas of the economy such as credit, production, processing, marketing, input distribution, housing, dairying and textiles. In some of the areas of their activities like dairying, urban banking and housing, sugar and handlooms, the cooperatives have achieved success to an extent but there are larger areas where they have not been so successful.

#### 3.2 The Salient features of the Cooperatives Movements

The salient features of the cooperatives movements was the development of multipurpose cooperatives Since 1937, the Reserve Bank of India has been strongly advocating the idea of organizing such societies. The multipurpose society grants credit to members, supplies better seeds, fertilisers and implements, markets their produce, helps them in irrigating their lands and supplies other needs at fair price. However the functions of better farming, credit and better living are all performed by one society and the has progress in the State like Gujarat, Karnataka, Madras, Maharashtra and Uttar Pradesh. In order to cover Cooperative Societies with membership from more than one province, the Government of India enacted the Multi-Unit Cooperative Societies

Act, 1942. This Act was an enabling legislative instrument dealing with incorporation and winding up of cooperative societies having jurisdiction in more than one province. With the emergence of national federations of cooperative societies in various functional areas and to obviate the plethora of different laws governing the same types of societies, a need was felt for a comprehensive Central legislation to consolidate the laws governing such cooperative societies. Therefore, the Multi-State Cooperative Societies Act, 1984 was enacted by Parliament under Entry No. 44 of the Union List of the Constitution of India.

After India attained Independence in August, 1947, cooperatives assumed a great significance in poverty removal and faster socio-economic growth. With the advent of the planning process, cooperatives became an integral part of the Five Year Plans. As a result, they emerged as a distinct segment in our national economy. In the First Five Year Plan, it was specifically stated that the success of the Plan would be judged, among other things, by the extent it was implemented through cooperative organisations.

#### 3.3 The All-India Rural Credit Survey Committee Report

The All-India Rural Credit Survey Committee Report, of 1954 recommended an integrated approach to cooperative credit and emphasised the need for viable credit cooperative societies by expanding their area of operation, encouraging rural savings and diversifying business. The Committee also recommended for Government participation in the share capital of the cooperatives.

Today people from different parts of the world are visiting to learn about Co-operative Societies. The poverty was so common-place that almost seventy five percent (75%) of India's population was started of all essentials of living; through their main occupation was farming, yet they could not feed well. All the farm produce were under the control of the rich twenty-five percent (25%) of the total population. These few people were 'stinkingly' rich while others were put in abject poverty. They usually gave usury to the poor farmers at a very high rate of interest.

Whenever they borrowed money from their lenders, the farmers would use their farm inputs as collateral security. But during the harvest these money-lenders would take the farm produce by force in place of the usury given to the poor farmers, thus these farmers were completely enslaved by their creditors. As the money-leaders were becoming richer, so the farmers were becoming poorer.

The indebtedness of these farmers was growing at an alarming rate, to the extent that they could not do otherwise, but rather serving the money-lenders.

The following were the causes of their indebtedness:

- 1. The farmers did not have any savings, instead they relied on the money-lenders for day-to-day borrowing.
- 2. Their debt was so much that they had to pass it to their children.
- 3. Being poor, they were mainly subsistence-farmers and often fell victim to the vagaries of the weather which affected their farm output or yield.
- 4. The farmers were too extravagant especially in marriages and funeral ceremonies. This may be a cultural factor but it became a general habit among the farmers.
- 5. They did not have sufficient lands for farming and housing.
- 6. The rich landowners and landlords.

The failure of cooperatives in the country is mainly attributable to: dormant membership and lack of active participation of members in the management of cooperatives. Mounting overdue in cooperative credit institution, lack of mobilisation of internal resources and overdependence on Government assistance, lack of professional management. bureaucratic control and interference in the management, political interference and over-pollicisation have proved harmful to their growth. Predominance of vested interests resulting in non-percolation of benefits to a common member, particularly to the class of persons for whom such cooperatives were basically formed, has also retarded the development of cooperatives. These are the areas which need to be attended to by evolving suitable legislative and policy support.

#### 4.0 CONCLUSION

In this unit, we have learn the India cooperatives contributions of different States drew up various schemes for the cooperative movement for organising large-size societies and provision of State partnership and assistance. During 1960s, further efforts were made to consolidate the cooperative societies by their re-organisation. Consequently, and increased in multipurpose societies based on positive effect on cooperative development that assisted in providing solution better living.

#### 5.0 SUMMARY

Cooperative in India are an integral part of their economy, cooperatives have been successfully catering to the needs of both rural and urban

areas, providing necessary goods and services care, along with much needed employment the potential of the cooperative approach and difficulties and prospects associated with it in the business world.

#### 6.0 TUTOR MARKED ASSIGNMENT

Examine evolution of India cooperative development

#### 7.0 REFERENCES/FURTHER READINGS

- David, A. S. (1998). Essential Information on Cooperative Credit Societies (CTCS/CICS), Dac-print Publishers, Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Daniel,H (2006), Dictionary of Management, Academic (India) Publishers. New Delhi.
- Epetimehin, F.M, (2006). Understanding the Dynamics of Cooperatives, ICReTI Publishing house, Ibadan, Nigeria.
- ICA (2005). http://www.ica.coop/ (accessed September 1, 2005).
- Iliopoulos C & Cook ML (1999). The internal organization of the cooperative firm: An extension of a new institutional digest. *Journal of Cooperatives* 14:77-85.
- Roy, E. P.(1981), Cooperative: Development, Principles and Management, Interstate Printers and Publishers, INC.USA pp1-110.
- Royer J.S (1994). Economic nature of the cooperative association: A retrospective appraisal. *Journal of Agricultural Cooperation* 9:86-94.
- Royer J .S (1995). Potential for cooperative involvement invertical coordination and value-added activities. *Agribusiness: An International Journal* 11(5):473-481.
- Oludimu, O. L. and Adedoyin, S.F (1996).Running a more successful cooperative, Triumph Book Publishers, Ijebu Ode, Nigeria.
- The Co-operative Information Superhighway." *International Cooperative Alliance (ICA).* (2011) Available from www.coop.org . *Review of International Co-operation*, quarterly.

#### Cooperatives-benefits

http://www.referenceforbusiness.com/encyclopedia/Concos/Cooperatives.html#ixzz1fl8lCHrv

"http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Danish\_cooperative\_movem ent&oldid=460377693"

The page was last modified on 13 November 2011 at 02:13. Available from www.coop.org

http://www.sarpn.org.za/documents/d0000786/index.php (accessed on August 8, 2005).

#### **MODULE 3**

Unit 1	Historical Development of the Nigerian Cooperative
	Movement, its Achievement, Constrains and Future
	Prospects
Unit 2	Modern and Traditional Pattern of Cooperation in Nigeria
Unit 3	Structure of the Cooperative Movement in Nigeria
Unit 4	The Activities of the Following: ANCE, NACCUN,
	NACMO, CFN, and NCISN
Unit 5	The Role of International Agency for Cooperative
	Development (ICD), World Council of Credit Unions -
	WOCCU and IFAP - International Federation of
	Agricultural Producers, International Fund For
	Agricultural Development (IFAD)
Unit 6	History, Objectives and the Importance of the
	International Cooperative Alliance

# UNIT 1 HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE NIGERIAN COOPERATIVE MOVEMENT, ITS ACHIEVEMENT, CONSTRAINS AND FUTURE PROSPECTS

#### **CONTENTS**

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Objectives
- 3.0 Main Content
  - 3.1 Historical Development of the Nigerian Cooperative Movement
  - 3.2 Nigerian Cooperative Movement its Achievement
  - 3.3 Constrains and Future Prospects
- 4.0 Conclusion
- 5.0 Summary
- 6.0 Tutor Marked Assignment
- 7.0 References/Further Readings

#### 1.0 INTRODUTION

The historical concept of Co-operation in Nigeria started as far back as 1907. Notable among the earliest co-operative societies was Agege Planters Union (A.P.U), made up of cocoa producers. About four hundred (400) cocoa farmers came together to ensure that cocoa was the major farm produce earning foreign exchange for Nigeria.

#### 2.0 OBJECTIVES

To have the knowledge of Historical development of the Cooperative movement Nigeria and its achievement, constrains and future prospects To understand cooperative movement constrains and future prospects

#### 3.0 MAIN CONTENT

### 3.1 Historical Development of the Nigerian Cooperative Movement

Nigeria was one of the countries that founded ACCOSCA. Other countries include Cameroon, Ethiopia, Ghana, Kenya, Lesotho, Liberia, Malawi, Tanzania, Uganda, Gambia and Mauritious Island.

In Nigeria when Agege Planters Union (A.P.U), made up of cocoa producers, this union was not immediately recognized by the government, they did not relent on their efforts. They were still other farmers union existing elsewhere. The reasons for joining together were:

- To pool resources together so that they could purchase inputs of production at reduced prices and passing the benefits to their members.
- To exchange ideas by sharing experience on how they could produce the best quality cocoa.
- To collectively fight for government recognition this was slow in coming. The Ibadan farmers recognized the need to join the already existing association of Agege Planters Union (APU) and the Egba Farmers Union. They sought for inclusion in the association and were admitted.

The Colonial masters, with the formulation and enactment of cooperative legislation in 1935 based on the report of Mr. F.F. Strickland's stated that cooperative will thrive in Nigeria. When the government realized the significance of Co-operatives, it commissioned on Mr. C.F. Strickland submitted in 1955, titled "The Introduction of Co-operative Societies in Nigeria" he strongly advocated the introduction and development of Co-operatives. He also proposed and actually drafted the relevant Ordinance and Regulations. Later the government saw the need to promote savings habit among the low-income people. With another report which Mr. Strickland submitted in 1936, (C.T.C.S) Co-operative Thrift and Credit Societies were formed and it spread all over Eastern and Western Nigeria.

Moreso, with the enactment of the ordinance saw more rapid development of Co-operative since the enabling framework has been provided. Even though some of the early Co-operatives collapsed for a number of reasons, the mistakes having been learnt a lot more was formed especially after Nigeria's independence 1960. The efforts of the colonial government in spreading Co-operatives from independence to the present, concerted efforts are being made to bring Co-operatives to every community. As more state are created so also Co-operatives are bought nearer to the grass roots.

Among the people who contributed to the Co-operative Movement especially in Western Nigeria were C.A.O. Makanjuola, , Chief Akinpelu Obisesan, (Sir) J.T. Caxton Idowu, Samuel Aboluwodi ,S.O. Abatan, and Pastor E.T Latunde and, all of blessed memory. They fought relentless to spread the gospel Co-operation in the West during their life-time. Though they are late, yet their footprints remain indelible in the sands of Nigeria Co-operatives.

#### 3.2 Nigerian Cooperative Movement Its Achievement

The inception of Co-operatives in Nigeria, government had been playing prominent and laudable roles in its development and spread. The initial achievement of the government on Co-operatives was the promulgation Co-operative Laws and the Co-operative Regulations which followed on the 6<sup>th</sup> February, 1936. In 1937, Gbedun Co-operative Produce Marketing Society became the first Co-operative Society to be the first Registrar of Co-operative Societies in Nigeria.

After these developments, several Co-operative Societies and Union were formed and registered by the government through the Western Ministry of Trade, Industry and Co-operative.

In 1953, The Co-operative bank Plc was established by the Co-op Movement to provide for financial needs of members of Co-operative Societies in Nigeria. A sum of One Million Pounds (part of the proceeds realized on cocoa Export) was approved for the take-off of the bank by the late Chief Obafemi, Awolowo., then Premier of the Western Region. Since that year, the bank has been playing crucial roles in the economically growth of individuals and the nation as a whole. It's multistorey building on Oba Adebimpe Road, Dugbe Ibadan, is the Head Office while its branches are all over the country.

The Nigeria Co-operative Societies Association (NCSA) which comprises all Co-operative Societies in Nigeria later became Co-operative Societies Association (CSA). It is upon these solid foundation and achievement that Co-operative Societies in the country are building

up till today. And all indications point to a brighter future for Cooperatives in Nigeria.

As each new state will also create a department in an appropriate ministry and saddle such department with Co-operatives matters. Departments for Co-operative development were created in the appropriate ministries and this helped in the promotion of Co-operatives in all parts of Nigeria. The Co-operative Federation of Nigeria was formed in 1945 and formally registered in 1967. It is the national apex organization which represents the entire co-operative movement in Nigeria. All state Co-operative Federation of Nigerian Co-operatives at the International level and it promotes supports and advises co-operatives nationally.

#### 3.3 Constrains and Future Prospects

There are numerous Factors responsible for failure of cooperatives movement in Nigeria they include the following:

- 1. Inappropriate government political stability
- 2. Poor cooperative education
- 3. Dishonesty of cooperative leaders
- 4. Disloyalty of the membership
- 5. Inadequate supervision
- 6. Mismanagement of fund
- 7. Lack of infrastructure e.g. good road, network, light etc
- 8. Lack of finance
- 9. Poor leadership
- 10. Pseudo/disguised cooperative
- 11. Poor Management/economic problem
- 12. Policy inconsistency/economic problem
- 13. Poor record keeping and account.

#### 3.4 Prospect of Co-operatives in Nigeria

Umebali (2008) observed that in Nigeria, as far as cooperatives are concerned, there are prospects. In Nigeria co-operative is required for rural development programmes which are a core government programme. This has to do with the enhancement of socio-political economic base of the rural dwellers. Through cooperatives, farm inputs are distributed. This is more significant now that there are universities awarding degree in co-operatives (Enugu State University of Science of Technology and Nnamdi Azikwe University). Lawal (2009), include Olabisi Onabanjo Univerity and National Open University of Nigeria. Other universities have co-operative as an area in a programme (such as Agriculture). Polytechnics are not left out. Institute of Management

and Technology award OND and HND in Co-operative. No doubt, all these will go a long way in enhancing manpower development for cooperative development. Co-operative is therefore, required for nation building in terms of food production, employment, income, raw materials for industries and foreign exchange. Women, through cooperatives are now displaying their economic empowerment. They are now involved in various economic activities for the overall benefit of the country. Cooperative enjoys economies of scale as resources are pooled for investment, expansion and also starting new investments. Given that Nigeria is to a large extent, an agricultural country, and moreso predominantly small-scale farmers and again small-scale industrial production. Co-operatives therefore, will continue to play major roles in the Nigerian economy. Both the government and the private sector are conscious of this and should, therefore, support the operations of co-operatives in Nigeria. Through these activities, cooperatives and rural development objectives are achieved.

#### 4.0 CONCLUSION

In this unit, we have learned on how the Co-operative has spread all over Nigeria but they are more prominent in the western parts of the country. Many of the co-operative organizations and establishment have grown very big and their roles in the nation's economic development cannot be over-emphasized. In spite of contributions to the problems facing the Nigerian co-operatives, and future prospects to the development of cooperative and the ideological struggle been positive effect on cooperative development that assisted in providing solution to socio, economic and political factors.

#### 5.0 SUMMARY

In summary, what an individual cannot do, a group of people can do it. People are encouraged to join societies in order to maximize benefits. Co-operatives are engaged with multi-economic activities that yield extra income to the members. Members benefit economically and socially. They raise fund which individuals benefit from as loan to expand their investment.

#### 6.0 TUTOR MARKED ASSIGNMENT

In Nigeria Co-operatives are veritable instruments for achieving growth and development. Discuss.

#### 7.0 REFERENCES/FURTHER READINGS

David, A. S. (1998). Essential Information on Cooperative Credit Societies (CTCS/CICS), Dac-print Publishers, Ibadan, Nigeria.

- Epetimehin, F.M, (2006). Understanding the Dynamics of Cooperatives, ICReTI Publishing house, Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Fred, E (2006), Introduction to Co-operatives Course Material on COP 101, National Open University of Nigeria, pp1-6.
- Lawal, K. A.A and Oludimu, O. L (2011), Management Theory, Practices and Focus, Asogun Published, Ibaban, Nigeria, pp m.
- S. Owojuyigbe, (2007), Cooperative Administration and Fieldwork in Nigeria.<sup>3rd</sup> edition, Samlolly Publishers Ibadan, Nigeria, pp.
- Oludimu, O. L. and Adedoyin, S.F (1996).Running a more successful cooperative, Triumph Book Publishers, Ijebu Ode, Nigeria.
- Umebai, E.E. (2008), Rural Development and Management, Course Material on MPA769 National Open University of Nigeria, pp36-44.

### UNIT 2 MODERN AND TRADITIONAL PATTERN OF COOPERATION IN NIGERIA

#### **CONTENTS**

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Objective
- 3.0 Main Content
  - 3.1 Modern and traditional pattern of cooperation in Nigeria
  - 3.2 Comparing formal cooperative with self-help organizations and area of similarities between them
  - 3.3 Areas of Similarities between Co-operative and Self-Help Organisation

Conclusion

- 4.0 Summary
- 5.0 Tutor Marked Assignment
- 6.0 References/Further Readings

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Modern cooperative was developed from the traditional cooperative forms of cooperative. The cooperative actions of modern cooperative are based on voluntary agreements by the individual actions. The voluntarism is the main tenet of modern acts of cooperative. The rules and modes of actions of working together are different from one instance to another. The Traditional cooperative on the other hands, the examples are mutual assistance which combines customary arrangement for securing assistance from neighbours on the occasions and events such as birth celebrations, death/funeral ceremonies and assistance to the injured or sick.

#### 2.0 OBJECTIVES

At the end of this unit, you should be able to:

- explain the modern and traditional pattern of cooperation in Nigeria
- compare the formal cooperative with self-help organizations and area of similarities between them.

#### 3.0 MAIN CONTENT

### 3.1 Modern and Traditional Pattern of Cooperation in Nigeria

Traditional cooperative (old school) refers only to the historical cooperatives models such as the Rochadale Equitable pioneer, which is the first cooperative organisation in the world and established in 1844 in England. The members of the traditional cooperatives usually make explicit demand for particular services and management must comply with the decision to execute only. The more advanced forms of traditional cooperative was demonstrated in savings and lending, joint possession of land. They did not leave life's risks and emergence to chances these were common in Nigeria such as the "ESUSU" in the Yorubaland and "ADASHI" in Ibo land just to mention few. They developed various patterns of mutual assistance, reduce them to custom and handed them as tradition and as a legacy from past through formal and informal education. It should be noted that various names were ascribed to traditional cooperative such as traditional cooperative institution, common initiative group (CIP), Self help organization. Traditionally, many cooperatives were involved in three main areas of business:

- (1) The purchase and sale of agricultural inputs and equipment; the purchase, storage and subsequent sale of agricultural commodities; and (3) transport services (Piesse *et al.*, 2003).
- (2) Traditionally, the organization of the co-operation movement is in tiers this will be discuss in the unit, It should be stressed that it is not mandatory for co-operatives to follow the traditional structure. Circumstances in a particular country or region might dictate a different structure whatever the manner or level of organization the basic aim of the co-operation movement is to improve the economic welfare of the members.

### 3.2 Comparing Formal Cooperative with Self-Help Organizations and Area of Similarities between Them

Fred (2006), comparing formal cooperative with self-help organizations and area of similarities between them, noted the following differences.

A Cooperative society is a business entity. As such the management concepts, techniques and principles are applied which may not be emphasized in a self-help organization.

The Co-operatives are owned by members. The capital invested in the business is for members who have taken the risks of investment. There is no such risk in self-help organizations.

The co-operative is controlled by members by taking major decisions affecting the business. Members delegate whoever carries out activities in the co-operative. The committee members or what is sometimes called board of directors are elected by the general members and delegated to manage the business. All these may not be applicable in a self-help organization. An opinion leader in a self-help organizations may be taking major decisions that a project needs to be executed without much consultation.

Cooperative is voluntary; the decision to join in co-operative is freely made by the individual. Members who so desire are also free to withdraw as long as they fulfill all agreements to the business. This is not applicable in a self-help organisation.

Members accept risks and benefits. They buy shares in the co-operative and expect to get benefits if the co-operative succeeds; but if it fails they lose their investments; there is no such investments and risk in self-help organization.

A co-operative is a long-term concern that is it outlives its founding fathers. That is why the sustainability in co-operative is higher than that of self-help organization, some of which operates on ad-hoc basis.

There are definite rules and regulations governing co-operatives as spelt in the co-operatives Decree of 1993, the co-operative laws of the various states of the Federation and by laws of each co-operative organization. But many self-help organizations are based on rules that are simple and are not necessarily reduced to contractual agreements. They fit into the normal behaviours of the community. They are capable of being modified depending on the changing circumstances and environment, which may result with the development of the group or community.

The process of registration of a co-operative is more rigorous than that of self-help organisation.

### 3.3 Areas of Similarities between Co-operative and Self-Help Organisation

Having looked at some areas of differences between formal co-operative and self-help organization, let us briefly see their areas of similarities.

Individuals that form a co-operative share identical interest(s) or goal(s) and the same can be said of self-help organization. It is the pursuit of such identical purpose that brings them together.

Roles exist in both self-help organization and formal co-operation. Members must play these roles. The proper execution of these roles will enhance the attainment of objectives.

Values are also shared by group members. These values are general agreement emphasized as positive or negative, that is, the "dos" and "don'ts" which are reflected in the constitution.

Communication exists in the groups. This includes communication between one individual and the other as well as between one individual and the organization.

Members of both organizations may enjoy a common identity. The identity separates members of an organization form other organizations. Such identity include: recognized names, and identical or peculiar mode of dressing among others.

#### 4.0 CONCLUSION

In this unit, we have learn the Nigeria cooperatives contributions of different views on the Modern and traditional pattern of cooperation in Nigeria. Comparing the formal cooperative with self-help organizations and area of similarities between them and drew up various schemes for the cooperative movement for organising large-size societies and provision of State partnership and assistance. During 1960s, further efforts were made to consolidate the cooperative societies by their reorganisation. Consequently, and increased in societies based on positive effect on cooperative development for better living.

#### 5.0 SUMMARY

The light of Co-operation is spreading so fast in all cities and villages to the extent that new Credit Unions/Societies are springing up on daily basis. The unions are now in thousands, with several affiliated societies. Indeed, the rate of their increase attests to the fact that Co-operative Societies offer a lot of benefits to their members. Recently in the Western Nigeria revealed that many business enterprises would have collapsed without the aid of Co-operative Credit Societies.

Therefore any of the Co-operative Union could be visited to enable us see part of their contributions to the support ability of our economy.

#### 6.0 TUTOR MARKED ASSIGNMENT

- 1. Discuss the Modern and traditional pattern of cooperation in Nigeria.
- 2. Compare formal cooperative with self-help organizations and area of similarities between them.

#### 7.0 REFERENCES/FURTHER READINGS

- David, A. S. (1998). Essential Information on Cooperative Credit Societies (CTCS/CICS), Dac-print Publishers, Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Daniel,H(2006),Dictionary of Management, Academic(India)Publishers. New Delhi.
- Epetimehin, F.M,(2006). Understanding the Dynamics of Cooperatives, ICReTI Publishing house, Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Fred, E (2006), Introduction to Co-operatives Course Material on COP 101, National Open University of Nigeria, pp1-6.
- Lawal, K. A.A and Oludimu, O. L (2011), Management Theory, Practices and Focus, Asogun Published, Ibaban, Nigeria.
- Roy, E. P. (1981), Cooperative: Development, Principles and Management, Interstate Printers and Publishers, INC.USA pp1-110.
- Owojuyigbe,S(2007), Cooperative Administration and Fieldwork in Nigeria.3<sup>rd</sup> edition, Samlolly Publishers Ibadan, Nigeria, pp
- Oludimu, O. L. and Adedoyin, S.F (1996). Running a more successful cooperative, Triumph Book Publishers, Ijebu Ode, Nigeria.
- Umebali, E.E.(2008), Rural Development and Management, Course Material on MPA769 National Open University of Nigeria, pp36-44.

### UNIT 3 STRUCTURE OF THE COOPERATIVE MOVEMENT IN NIGERIA

#### **CONTENTS**

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Objectives
- 3.0 Main Content
  - 3.1 Structure of the Cooperative Movement in Nigeria
  - 3.2 Primary Cooperative Societies
  - 3.3 Secondary Cooperative Societies
  - 3.4 Tertiary Level
  - 3.5 Apex Cooperative Organization
- 4.0 Conclusion
- 5.0 Summary
- 6.0 Tutor Marked Assignment
- 7.0 References/Further Readings

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The organization of the co-operation movement is in tiers, which form a pyramid shaped structure. At the bottom of the structure are primary co-operative societies whose membership consists of individuals. The primary societies serve their members directly. Their size may vary from small village societies to large societies serving several villages. The range of business of primary societies also varies from single purpose co-operative societies engaging in, for example retail trading, to multiple purpose combining, for instance retailing and product marketing. Primary societies form the foundation of the co-operative movement; success of the movement invariable hinges on grass root support.

The next tier in the pyramid consists of "co-operative unions" also known as "secondary societies". These operate on the same basis as primary societies except that their membership is limited to primary societies. Through these associations primary societies they would not afford. For example, primary retail societies could combine to form a wholesales co-operative societies' association which serves the member primary societies. Secondary societies may further to form a union at a regional or province level. For example, wholesale co-operative associations in a particular province could combine to form a provincial wholesale co-operative union, federation: the "apex union" composed of the union (*Co-operative Societies Act, 1982*) at the international level, co-operative are united under one umbrella of the International Co-operative Alliance (ICA).

#### 2.0 OBJECTIVES

At the end of the study leaner should be able to:

- understand Structure of the cooperative movement in Nigeria
- describe Primary Cooperative Societies
- explain Secondary Cooperative Societies
- describe Tertiary Level
- illustrate Apex Cooperative Organization

#### 3.0 MAIN CONTENT

#### 3.1 Structure of the Cooperative Movement in Nigeria

The organizational structure of cooperation is a four-tier system with the mother union at the apex and primary societies at the base (Oshuntogun 1971). When considering the structure of cooperative societies in Nigeria, we would mention primary, secondary and Apex Nigeria, we would mention primary, secondary and Apex Organization or Tertiary societies. Let's explain these one by one. It consists of the following in an ascending hierarchical order.

#### 3.2 Primary Cooperative Societies

Membership is made up of people who share similar aims hopes and aspirations. Members pay strong allegiances to the society. The primary level is more effective because member-patrons participate fully in the activities of the society. The society operates at the grass root level, e.g. villages, quarters and towns. Examples are Cooperative Consumer Society (CCS), Cooperative Thrift and Credit Society (CTCS), etc.

Moreso, Members of Primary Societies are individuals or (natural persons). Such individuals decide to become members in the organization voluntarily. They appear in two forms. One form is a situation whereby the individual members already has his/her individual enterprise or business and the cooperative society is formed to serve as "service organization" to the members so that each member will use the service gained from the society to expand his/her business. Example of such benefits includes giving loans, advice and supplying farm input to members.

The other form is a situation whereby the members collectively or jointly run a business enterprise and the benefits derived from this is for the members in general or as a group. Example of this could be found when members of a society engage in collective farming. Examples of

Primary cooperative Societies are Farmers Cooperative societies. Consumer Cooperative societies, Cooperative thrift and Credit Societies e.t.c. For Primary Societies to be able to carry out above-mentioned functions, they must be financed by their members.

#### 3.3 Secondary Cooperative Societies

This consists of an affiliation of primary societies (union level) which operates at local government level, cities e.t.c. The union renders services to primary societies as primary societies render service to individuals. Such services that are rendered to primary societies include giving advice, loans, grants, keeping the books and records of primary. Examples are Cooperative Produce and Marketing Union (CPMU) Cooperative thrift and credit union (CTCU). Members of Secondary Cooperative Societies are corporate bodies or primary cooperative societies. When Primary societies join together, they become an association which may affiliate to a secondary society or a union. The union renders services to primary Societies as primary Societies render services to individuals. Such services that are rendered to primary societies by secondary ones include among others, giving advice loans, grants, keeping the books and records of primary societies affiliated to them. The service also includes processing, selling of the agricultural products sent to them by these affiliated organizations. They also source for funds for the affiliated societies. As mentioned in the case of primary societies, affiliates of secondary societies must financially support their secondary or union.

#### 3.4 Tertiary Level

The tertiary is known as league of unions which operates at the state level. All the unions are the members of the state league e.g. League of Cooperative Thrift and Credit Union (LCTUC); League of Cooperative Fishermen Union (LCFU). The leagues assist the cooperative fishermen union in the interpretation of laws and policies and in solving their general problems. Secondary Societies or Unions form Tertiary Cooperative Societies. Areas where Tertiary societies can be useful to Secondary Societies include promotion of education, representing the affiliates nationally and internationally, linking with the government, sourcing scholarships for Cooperators that belong to their affiliated Societies. Other areas include arranging workshops, seminars for Managers/Secretaries Auditing the books and records of Secondary and Primary Societies, etc.

#### 3.5 Apex Cooperative Organization

An Apex Organization is formed to render better services to Secondary Societies receives its membership and power from the affiliated unions which makes its membership to be the smallest compared with the unions and the primary societies. Its main objective is to further increase the bargaining power and strength. There are three types of Apex Organizations. The first type is the national apex Organization. Examples of this are the Cooperative Federation of Nigeria (CFN), and National Association of Cooperative Credit Union of Nigeria (NACCUN). The second type is the regional Apex Organization. Examples of this are Cooperative Supply Association (CSA), Association of Nigerian. Cooperative Exporters (ANCE). The third type is the State Apex Cooperative Organizations. Examples are State Cooperative federations like Oyo State Cooperative Federation. Federation of Osun State Cooperatives, Katsina State Cooperative Federation e.t.c. Examples of Apex Organisations are the Association of Nigeria Cooperative Exporter (ANCE) and the cooperative Supply Association (CSA) are examples of regional cooperative organizations, Nigeria Agricultural and Cooperative and Rural Development Bank (NACRDB), Cooperative Federation of Nigeria (CFN) are example of national cooperative organization while the International Cooperative Association (ICA) is an example of international cooperative organization.

#### 4.0 CONCLUSION

It should be stressed that it is not mandatory for co-operatives to follow the traditional structure. Circumstances in a particular country or region might dictate a different structure whatever the manner or level of organization the basic aim of the co-operation movement is to improve the economic welfare of the members.

#### 5.0 SUMMARY

In this unit, we have discussed the considering the structure of cooperative societies in Nigeria, we would mention primary, secondary and Apex Nigeria, we would mention primary, secondary and Apex Organization or Tertiary societies. Let's explain these one by one.

#### 6.0 TUTOR MARKED ASSIGNMENT

Discuss the Structure of the cooperative movement in Nigeria.

#### 7.0 REFERENCES/FURTHER READINGS

- Fred, E (2006), Introduction to Co-operatives Course Material on COP 101National Open University of Nigeria, pp1-6.
- Lawal, K. A.A and Oludimu, O. L (2011), Management Theory, Practices and Focus, Asogun Published, Ibaban, Nigeria, pp
- Roy, E. P.(1981), Cooperative: Development, Principles and Management, Interstate Printers and Publishers, INC.USA pp1-110.
- Umebali, E.E.(2008), Rural Development and Management, Course Material on MPA769 National Open University of Nigeria, pp36-44

### UNIT 4 THE ACTIVITIES OF THE FOLLOWING: ANCE, NACCUN, NACMO, CFN, AND NCISN

#### **CONTENTS**

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Objectives
- 3.0 Main Content
  - 3.1 Association of Nigerian Cooperative Exporters (ANCE)
  - 3.2 National Association of Co-Operative Credit Unions of Nigeria (NACCUN)
  - 3.3 National Association of Cooperative Marketing Organisation (NACMO)
  - 3.4 Co-operative Federation of Nigeria (C.F.N) 3.4.1 Co-operative Federations
  - 3.5 National Co-Operative Insurance Society Nigeria Limited (NCIS)
  - 3.6 Corporate Responsibility
- 4.0 Conclusion
- 5.0 Summary
- 6.0 Tutor Marked Assignment
- 7.0 References/Further Readings

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The discussion in this unit shall focus on different association and organization bodies of cooperatives in Nigeria. Brief illustration on why, how when they are established their importance and functions they performs.

#### 2.0 OBJECTIVE

At the end of the unit, you should be able to:

- to describe and differentiate the activities of the following:
  - 1. Association of Nigerian Cooperative Exporters (ANCE).
  - 2. National Association of Co-operative Credit Unions of Nigeria (NACCUN).
  - 3. National Association of Cooperative Marketing Organisation (NACMO).
  - 4. Co-operative Federation of Nigeria (C.F.N).
  - 5. National Co-operative Insurance Society Nigeria Limited (NCISN).

#### 3.0 MAIN CONTENTS

#### 3.1 Association of Nigerian Cooperative Exporters (ANCE)

This is the Association of Nigeria Co-operative Exporters limited. It comprises several Co-operative Produce Marketing unions in Oyo, Ondo, Ogun, Lagos and Ekiti States. It is established for exporting good quality Cocoa, Coffee and Palm kernels. It also specialists in the production and marketing of livestock feeds know as ANCE FEEDS. Since its inception in 1945, ANCE has received several international awards for exporting quality agricultural product from Nigeria. The association has to its credit an ultra-modern office complex, popularly called ANCE BUILDING on Magazine Road, Oricho, Ibandan, as head-Office. It has Area offices in Ondo, Ondo State, Abeokuta, Ogun State, and Apapa, Lagos State.

### 3.2 National Association of Co-Operative Credit Unions of Nigeria (NACCUN)

National Association of Co-operative Credit Unions in Nigeria was formed in 1970 for the propagation and development of Co-operative Credit Societies in Nigeria. The founders aimed at educating both the staff and the members on how to improve the existing Savings and Credit Co-operatives especially among the lower and middle income groups. It is founders' believe that if we encourage savings to provide for credit facilities, NACCUN is therefore committed to the promotion of socio-economic development of our communities through collaboration with the Federal Government. It strongly believes that this is achievable through Thrift and Credit Societies. NACCUN has been encouraging and teaching Nigeria Women to make savings and use such savings for credit facilities both in Urban and Rural set-ups.

This co-operative apex body is a member of the African Confederation of Co-operative Savings and Credit Association (ACCOSCA) of Credit Union (WOCCU). NACCUN administrative office is situated at plot 5, Adelabu Close opposite Custom & Excise Training School, Ikeja Lagos.

### 3.3 National Association of Cooperative Marketing Organisation (NACMO)

The Nigerian Agricultural Co-operative Marketing Organisation (NACMO) was established as a national co-operative apex in 1987. It has the goal of promoting fully integrated multipurpose Co-operatives for food productions, processing, preservation, storage and marketing. It also specializes in the distribution of agricultural inputs. Since its inception, NACMO has been actively involved in the following:

- a) Promotion and co-ordination of inter and intra state marketing in food crops among co-operatives in the country.
- b) Importation and distribution of agro-input like fertilizers seeds implements, chemicals coop-farmers.
- c) Encouragement and promotion of Multi-purpose Co-operative societies for food crops production and development
- d) Marketing of members produce to large scale agro processors commodities of members.

NACMO's Head-Office is at: logos Badary Express-way, plot 234, Amuwo Odofin New Town, Behind Durbar 9Festac 77 Hotel Plc), Lagos.

#### 3.4 Co-Operative Federation of Nigeria (C.F.N)

The Co-operative Federation of Nigeria was formed in 1945 and formally registered in 1967. it is the national apex organization which represents the entire co-operative movement in Nigeria. All state Co-operative Federation of Nigerian Co-operatives at the International level and it promotes supports and advises co-operatives nationally. It is a member International Co-operatives Alliance (ICA) as well as the International Federation of Agricultural Producers (IFAP). The CFN was formed to achieve the following goals:

- To ensure that co-operatives play their proper roles in the economic and social life of the nation by publicizing the aims, purpose and benefits of Co-operation.
- To work out a common policy and engender a sense of solidarity within the Co-operative Movement. This is done by holding national and regional conferences for the exchange of ideas and information on common problems and for mediating disputes within the movement.
- To make representation to the proper authorities concerning matters affecting the interest of the Co-operative Movement.
- To sponsor legislation for the growth and development of Cooperatives and to hold a watching brief for the Movement in legislative matters.
- To participate with the regions in the mass development of Cooperatives education of staff and members.

CFN has achieved a lot in the mass development of Co-operatives within the country especially through its periodically publications and seminars.

#### 3.4.1 Co-operative Federations

States Co-operative Federation are members of CFN and they are its representatives. This enables each registered Union and Society to benefit from CFN. The following are the responsibilities of each State Co-operative Federation to its members.

- To supervise and protect every registered union in the state
- To audit the book and records of all registered unions and societies in the state
- To send an audit report to the state Director of Co-operatives
- To act as intermediary between the members and CFN.
- To audit and supervision fees from the registered societies/unions in the state.
- To settles disputes amicably among the Co-operative members in the state.
- To be an umbrella for all registered Co-operatives Societies according to the order of Director of Co-operatives in the state

To enjoy all above services, any registered society/union can get in touch with the Executive Secretary of the Co-operative Federation in its state.

### 3.5 National Co-Operative Insurance Society Nigeria Limited (NCIS)

It mission statement was to build a formidable cooperative insurance apex that ensures and guarantees the welfare of its members and their dependents.

National cooperative insurance Society Nigeria Limited (NCIS) was established on November 25<sup>th</sup>, 1977 as the only national cooperative insurance apex institution to perform the dual function of issuing certificate of practice to cooperative societies wishing to practice insurance and also insure cooperators and their dependents in accordance with Section 1B of the Cooperative and Social Development (transfer of functions Decree No. 28 of 1976.

On the 9<sup>th</sup>, day of July, 1980 NCIS was registered to continue as a conventional insurer under the Insurance Decree of 1976 to carry on the insurance of general businesses because of the expansion and wider spread or cooperative societies across the nation, NCIS on the 16<sup>th</sup> July, 1988 informed the Federal Government of its intention to limits it operation to the cooperative Societies to revitalize and supervise them effectively. The application was granted accordingly to provision Section 1 (i) (a) and 3 (i) (c) of the insurance decree of 1976 as a mutual

concern for the purpose of cooperative Societies without having share capital which is also protected by insurance Decree 2 of 1997 section 97.

#### 3.6 Corporate Responsibility

National Co-operative Insurance Society assumed effective control and supervision of all affiliated registered and functional Co-operative Insurance Societies carrying on business anywhere in the Federation and to act as their clearing house in the distribution of risk. And through the Co-operative Insurance, NCIS offers insurance to co-operators at reasonable rates.

#### The Services provided are:

- 1. Life Insurance.
- 2. Motor Insurance.
- 3. Fire & Special Perils Insurance.
- 4. Theft, House Breaking /Burglary Insurance.
- 5. Group Personal Accident.
- 6. Goods in Transit Insurance.
- 7. Money Insurance (Cash in Safe /Transit).
- 8. Workmen Compensation Insurance.
- 9. Office All Risk/ Comprehensive Holders Insurance
- 10. Cooperative Guarantee Bond.
- 11. Fidelity Guarantee Insurance.
- 12. Oil and Gas Insurance for Cooperators.
- 13. Marine Insurance for Cooperators.
- 14. House Owners and House Holders Insurance.
- 15. Death Insurance.
- 16. Nigerian National Cooperative Wholesale Association (NNCWA).
- 17. Kaduna Federation of Milk Producers Cooperatives Association Ltd, Kaduna.

#### 4.0 CONCLUSION

In this unit, we have learn the different associations contribution drew up various schemes for the cooperative movement for organising large-size societies and provision of State partnership and assistance, efforts made to consolidate the cooperative societies by their organisation. Consequently, increased in societies based on positive effect on cooperative development that assisted in providing solution better living.

#### 5.0 SUMMARY

In summary, Cooperative associations engaged with multi-economic activities that yield additional assistance and partnership benefit socially and economically.

#### 6.0 TUTOR MARKED ASSIGNMENT

Discuss the activities of the following:

- 1. Association of Nigerian Cooperative Exporters (ANCE).
- 2. National Association of Co-operative Credit Unions of Nigeria (NACCUN).
- 3. National Association of Cooperative Marketing Organisation (NACMO).
- 4. Co-Operative Federation of Nigeria (C.F.N).
- 5. National Co-operative Insurance Society Nigeria Limited (NCISN).

#### 7.0 REFERENCES/FURTHER READINGS

- David, A. S. (1998). Essential Information on Cooperative Credit Societies (CTCS/CICS), Dac-print Publishers, Ibadan, Nigeria
- Daniel, H(2006), Dictionary of Management, Academic (India) Publishers. New Delhi.
- Epetimehin, F.M,(2006). Understanding the Dynamics of Cooperatives, ICReTI Publishing house Ibadan, Nigeria
- Lawal, K. A.A and Oludimu, O. L (2011), Management Theory, Practices and Focus, Asogun Published, Ibaban, Nigeria,
- Owojuyigbe,S (2007), Cooperative Administration and Fieldwork in Nigeria.3<sup>rd</sup> edition, Samlolly Publishers Ibadan, Nigeria,
- Oludimu, O. L. and Adedoyin, S.F(1996). Running a more successful cooperative, Triumph Book Publishers, Ijebu Ode, Nigeria.
- "The Co-operative Information Superhighway." *International Cooperative Alliance (ICA). (2011)* Available from www.coop.org . *Review of International Co-operation*, quarterly. Cooperatives benefits

UNIT 5 THE ROLE OF INTERNATIONAL AGENCY FOR COOPERATIVE DEVELOPMENT (ICD), WORLD COUNCIL OF CREDIT UNIONS – WOCCU AND IFAP – INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCERS, INTERNATIONAL FUND FOR AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT (IFAD)

#### **CONTENTS**

	Introduction
	Objectives
	Main Content
3.1	The International Agency for Cooperative Development
	(IACD)
3.2	World Council of Credit Unions (WOCCU)
3.3	Policy & Advocacy
	3.2.1 Financial Inclusion
	3.2.2 Products & Services
	3.2.3 Annual Report
	3.2.4 Member Services
3.4	International Federation of Agricultural Producers (IFAP)
3.5	International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD)
Conclusion	
Summary	
Tutor Marked Assignment	
References/Further Readings	
	3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 Concl Summ Tutor

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The role of different international cooperative organization in promoting sustainable development around the world to empower people through reliable, affordable and access to high quality of basic needs and want proven their worth as source of assistance during crisis and recognition regardless of challenges posed by the operating environment. The understanding of operations and activities of international agency involved will assist in promoting cooperation among nations and exposure.

#### 2.0 OBJECTIVES

At the end of the unit, you should be able to:

- discuss the role of IACD, WOCCU and IFAP/IFAD
- understand major role played by each organization and

• understand the main purpose of their establishment.

#### 3.0 MAIN CONTENT

### 3.1 The International Agency for Cooperative Development (IACD)

The International Agency for Cooperative Development (IACD) comprises of different cooperative agency such as ICA, WOCCU, ILO's, IFAP, FAO, mention just few of them performing similar role and activities towards the growth and development of cooperative and peace movement locally and internationally between developed and developing countries. The role played by these agency towards integrating economy development and eradication of poverty thereby assisting the government through the provision of basic and social amenities. The IACD is an independent non-governmental association which unites, represents and serves co-operatives world-wide. Its members are national and international co-operative organisations in all sectors of activity including agriculture, banking, credit and saving, industry, insurances, fisheries, housing, tourism and consumer co-operatives. http://www.prensacooperativa.com.ar/cgi-bin/Simbolos.asp.

### 3.2 World Council of Credit Unions (WOCCU) Insight on the Global Credit Union Movement

The World Council of Credit Unions (WOCCU) is the global trade association and development agency for credit unions. Is the only organization representing credit unions in developed and developing countries on a global scale. WOCCU promotes the sustainable development of credit unions and other financial cooperatives around the world to empower people through access to high quality and affordable financial services. WOCCU advocates on behalf of the global credit union system before international organizations and works with national governments to improve legislation and regulation. Its technical assistance programs introduce new tools and technologies to strengthen credit unions' financial performance and increase their outreach. WOCCU is funded by member dues, government agency and foundation grants and annual gifts to WOCCU's Worldwide Foundation for Credit Unions. World Council of Credit Unions and its subsidiaries are headquartered in Madison, USA. WOCCU also has a permanent office in Washington, D.C., and program offices worldwide. In New York, at a time when many national economies are facing financial instability, cooperative enterprises have proven their worth as sources of assistance during the crisis and recognition of cooperatives' value. Worldwide, 49,000 credit unions in 97 countries serve 184 million people. In 2009,

WOCCU's technical assistance programs reached 5.9 million people in 13 countries.

#### 3.3 Policy & Advocacy

WOCCU actively monitors and participates in the policy development of international organizations to ensure credit union interests are represented while global standards are formed. It has published a number of resources on drafting effective legislation, including *Model Law for Credit Unions*, *Model Regulations for Credit Unions* and a technical guide to *Credit Union Regulation and Supervision*. WOCCU also provides consulting for its member associations undergoing change in cooperative or financial sector regulation.

In 2007, WOCCU established the International Credit Union Regulators' Network to build capacity among supervisors and help shape effective standards for credit unions. The group meets annually to discuss current global regulatory issues and to undertake research on specific topics related to the oversight of financial cooperatives.

#### 3.3.1 Financial Inclusion

WOCCU was founded on the belief that all people have the right to affordable, reliable and accessible financial services. Its technical assistance programs have provided millions of poor and low-income people access to the array of financial services they need through credit unions, regardless of challenges posed by the operating environment. WOCCU works with credit unions, their trade associations and regulators to drive savings mobilization and regulatory development while creating integrated business networks. Its team of financial experts' works with local leaders to develop tailored products and services geared toward microfinance, rural finance and Islamic finance. Unlike many microfinance technical assistance providers that focus exclusively on credit, WOCCU's approach emphasizes the mobilization of member savings as the primary source of financing. This savingsbased approach allows credit unions to reach greater scale in financial service provision and instils a level of financial discipline that ensures long-term sustainability. Since 1971, WOCCU has implemented more than 275 technical assistance programs to build safe and sound financial cooperatives in 71 countries throughout the world.

#### 3.3.2 Products & Services

WOCCU Services Group (WSG) invests in the development of credit union products and services offered through local service organizations that are jointly owned by individual credit unions, their associations and

WSG. Under the "Entura" brand, credit unions are able to offer members shared branching, domestic and international remittances and cell phone banking services as well as additional access points through automatic teller machines (ATMs), point-of-sale (POS) devices at area businesses and personal digital assistants (PDAs) used by credit union staff during member visits. Entura pools credit union interests and negotiates on behalf of the international network to secure competitive rates. Credit unions that otherwise may not be able to afford such products and services gain market access through Entura, and credit union members benefit from additional services at market price. WOCCU has created a number of web-based and locally installed financial tools including its flagship PEARLS monitoring system, a set of financial ratios or indicators that help standardize terminology among institutions. The tools offer management guidance for credit unions and other savings institutions and can serve as supervisory tools for regulators.

#### 3.3.3 Annual Report

With the 2010 Annual Report, WOCCU celebrate the strong foundation upon which World Council has been built over the past 40 years that has enabled them to stand strong in the face of this year's numerous challenges and empower credit unions worldwide to do the same. WOCCU introduced new tools and technologies to strengthen credit unions' financial performance and increase their rural outreach capacity.

#### 3.3.4 Member Services

WOCCU advocates for credit union-appropriate legislation and regulation with governments worldwide and provides consulting services for regulatory system development and legislative reform on behalf of its members. WOCCU also tracks international credit union statistics, publishes the biannual *Credit Union World* magazine, sponsors International Credit Union Day® each October and hosts annual regional technical conferences and the World Credit Union Conference. Some WOCCU members participate in WOCCU's International Partnerships Program, which pairs credit union associations, leagues and credit unions with an overseas counterpart to exchange operational, outreach and advocacy expertise. WOCCU represents the interests of its members in nearly 60 countries. *Francisco Santos*, 2 April 2003.

### 3.4 International Federation of Agricultural Producers (IFAP)

IFAP was established in 1946 an organization that advocated on the international level member farm organizations. The organization was

liquidated by the French Tribunal de Grande Instance in a judgments made on 4th November 2010, to proceed with the liquidation of IFAP after an economic and political crisis. IFAP represented over 600 million farm families grouped in 120 national organizations in 79 countries. It was a global network in which farmers from industrialized and developing countries exchanged concerns and set common priorities to advocate farmers' interests at the International level, and had General Consultative Status with the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations. Source: From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

### 3.5 International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD)

International fund for Agricultural development (IFAD) was established in 1977 by United Nations specialized Agency as an international financial Institution from the outcome of the 1974 World food conference. The conference was organized in respond to food crisis of the early 1970s that primarily affected the Rural Areas of Sub Saharan countries of Africa also the outcome of the conference was to established IFAD immediately to finance agric development projects in the developing countries.

IFAD mission is to enable poor rural people to overcome poverty. IFAD works with the poor rural people, Government, Donors Non government organizations and many other partners to overcome poverty. It focuses on country's specific solutions which can involved increasing poor people access to financial to services market technology, land, and other natural resources.

#### 4.0 CONCLUSION

In this unit, we have learn about the role of different international cooperative organization in promoting sustainable development around the world to empower people through reliable, affordable and access to high quality of basic needs and want proven their worth as source of assistance during crisis and recognition regardless of challenges posed by the operating environment.

#### 5.0 SUMMARY

The understanding of operations and activities of international agency involved will assist in promoting cooperation among nations and exposure for the cooperative movement for organising large-size societies and provision of State partnership and assistance.

#### 6.0 TUTOR MARKED ASSIGNMENT

Discuss the operations and activities of international agency involved in promoting cooperation among nations and exposure.

#### 7.0 REFERENCES/FURTHER READINGS

- Birchall, Johnston. (1997). *The International Cooperative Movement*. Manchester, UK: University of Manchester Press,
- David, A. S. (1998). Essential Information on Cooperative Credit Societies (CTCS/CICS), Dac-print Publishers, Ibadan, Nigeria
- Daniel,H(2006),Dictionary of Management, Academic(India)Publishers. New Delhi. Encyclopedia of Business, 2nd ed. Reference for Business.
- Epetimehin, F.M,(2006). Understanding the Dynamics of Cooperatives, ICReTI Publishing house Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Fred, E (2006), Introduction to Co-operatives Course Material on COP 101 National Open University of Nigeria, pp1-6.
- Furlough, Ellen, and Carl Strikwerda, eds (1999) Consumers Against Capitalism?: Consumer Cooperation in Europe, North America, and Japan, 1840-1990 Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, G.F.
- Ortmann & R.P King1(*March 2007*) Agricultural Cooperatives I: History, Theory and Problems, *Agrekon*, *Vol 46*, *No 1*
- Lawal, K. A.A and Oludimu, O. L (2011), Management Theory, Practices and Focus, Asogun Published, Ibaban, Nigeria, pp
- Roy, E. P. (1981), Cooperative: Development, Principles and Management, Interstate Printers and Publishers, INC.USA pp1-110.
- Owojuyigbe,S (2007), Cooperative Administration and Fieldwork in Nigeria.3<sup>rd</sup> edition Samlolly Publishers Ibadan, Nigeria, pp
- Oludimu, O. L. and Adedoyin, S.F (1996). Running a more Successful Cooperative, Triumph Book Publishers, Ijebu Ode, Nigeria.
- "The Co-operative Information Superhighway." *International Cooperative Alliance (ICA) (2011)* Available from

www.coop.org .*Review of International Co-operation*, quarterly. Cooperatives - benefits.

## UNIT 6 HISTORY, OBJECTIVES AND THE IMPORTANCE OF THE INTERNATIONAL COOPERATIVE ALLIANCE

#### **CONTENTS**

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Objectives
- 3.0 Main Content
  - 3.1 Brief About ICA
  - 3.2 Aims of the International Co-operative Alliance
  - 3.3 Objectives of I.C.A
  - 3.4 What does ICA do?
  - 3.5 Work of the Alliance
- 4.0 Conclusion
- 5.0 Summary
- 6.0 Tutor Marked Assignment
- 7.0 References/Further Readings

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The International Co-operative Alliance was founded in 1895. Is an independent, largest non-governmental organization that unites, represents and serves co-operatives world-wide. it ICA members are national and international co-operative organisations in all sectors of activity including agriculture, banking, fisheries, health, housing, industry, insurance, tourism and consumer co-operatives.

#### 2.0 OBJECTIVES

At the end of this unit, you should be able to:

- understand major objectives of the international cooperative alliance
- understand the importance of the international cooperative alliance

#### 3.0 MAIN CONTENT

#### 3.1 Brief About ICA

Currently, ICA has 267 member organisations from 97 countries, representing approximately 1 billion individuals worldwide. The Governance refers to how powers are distributed and exercised by different groups. It is concerned with the practices and procedures used

by an organisation to ensure that it can meet its objectives. The ICA considers that this Code of Governance is an essential feature in a modern and transparent co-operative organisation. The supreme government authority is the Congress or affiliated association held every three years. The constitution ensures fair presentation of members and democratic control.

#### 3.2 Aims of the International Co-operative Alliance

According to the I.C.A. monthly journal – Review of I.C.A. to 1960-70 Series, 'The International Co-operative Alliance in continuation of the work of the Rochdale Equitable Pioneers, seeks to promote a non-profit system of production and trade, organized in the interests of the whole community and based upon voluntary and mutual self-help'

#### 3.3 Objectives of I.C.A

- 1) To be the universal representative of Co-operative Organization of all types which observe I.C.A. principles
- 2) To promote co-operation in all countries.
- 3) To propagate Co-operative Principles and Methods throughout the world.
- 4) To safeguard the interest of the Co-operative Movement in all its forms.
- 5) To foster good relations between Co-operatives Organizations of all types naturally and internationally.
- 6) To work for the establishment of lasting people and security.

#### 3.4 What does ICA do?

ICA's priorities and activities centre on promoting and defending the Co-operative Identity, ensuring that co-operative enterprise is a recognised form of enterprise that is able to compete in the marketplace.

- ICA raises awareness about co-operatives.
- It helps individuals, government authorities and regional and international institutions understand the co-operative model of enterprise.
- ICA is the voice of the co-operative movement.
- ICA ensures that the right policy environment exists to enable cooperatives to grow and prosper. It helps its members in their lobbying for new legislation and more appropriate administrative procedures that respect the co-operative model, its principles and values.
- It provides political support as well as technical expertise to enable co-operatives to compete on a level playing field.

• ICA provides its members with key information, best practice and contacts through its publications for the sharing of information.

- It organises meetings and workshops to address key issues affecting co-operatives and allows discussion among co-operators from around the world.
- ICA facilitates contacts between co-operatives for trading purposes and intelligence sharing in a wide range of areas.
- ICA provides technical assistance to co-operatives through its development programme.
- ICA promotes capacity-building and financial support, it facilitates job creation and supports poverty reduction and microfinance programmes around the world.

#### 3.5 Work of the Alliance

- 1) **Providing information:** A statistics section is concerned with compiling data from member Co-operatives while an economics section studies trends and developments affecting the Co-operative Movement.
- **Literature:** A library has been built up and is ever-growing consisting of book, journals, reports, photographs and newspapers etc.
- 3) **Promoting International Understanding:** Every year, an international summer school is held in different countries thus promoting fellowship and understanding.
- 4) **Publications:** The I.C.A. has many publications the most significant of which is the Review of International Co-operation. A monthly journal published in English, French and German.
- Wholesale Services: As Co-operative Marketing Organization develop into whole-sale operations; consumer associations may be compelled to establish an international wholesale society or International purchasing organization for particular commodities e.g. petroleum, banking, Insurance and Housing. The International Co-operative Alliance's office is at 15, Route de Morillons, 1218 Grand-Saconnex Geneva, Switzerland.

#### 4.0 CONCLUSION

In this unit, we have learned about the ICA Strategic Plan represents a global vision of the 'one ICA', including planning for the central and regional offices as well as the sectoral organisations for the period 2009-2012. It includes commonly agreed strategic objectives, key result areas, outcomes and indicators, as well as the strategic initiatives as defined by reach component of the ICA.

#### 5.0 SUMMARY

This unit has considered ICA as a means of positive change and accelerating cooperative development it has discussed the aims, objectives work and planning programmed of ICA.

#### 6.0 TUTOR MARKED ASSIGNMENT

- 1. Enumerate the aims and objective of ICA.
- 2. What does ICA do?

#### 7.0 REFERENCES/FURTHER READINGS

- Birchall, Johnston. (1997) *The International Cooperative Movement*. Manchester, UK: University of Manchester Press,
- David, A. S. (1998). Essential Information on Cooperative Credit Societies (CTCS/CICS), Dac-print Publishers, Ibadan, Nigeria
- Daniel,H(2006),Dictionary of Management, Academic(India)Publishers. New Delhi. Encyclopedia of Business, 2nd ed. Reference for Cooperative Business.
- Epetimehin, F.M, (2006). Understanding the Dynamics of Cooperatives, ICReTI Publishing house Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Fred, E (2006), Introduction to Co-operatives Course Material on COP 101 National Open University of Nigeria, pp1-6.
- G.F Ortmann & R.P King1(*March 2007*) Agricultural Cooperatives I: History, Theory and Problems, *Agrekon*, *Vol 46*, *No 1*.
- Lawal, K. A. A and Oludimu, O. L (2011), Management Theory, Practices and Focus, Asogun Published, Ibaban, Nigeria, pp.
- Roy, E. P. (1981), Cooperative: Development, Principles and Management, Interstate Printers and Publishers, INC.USA.
- Owojuyigbe, S (2007), Cooperative Administration and Fieldwork in Nigeria.3<sup>rd</sup> edition, Samlolly Publishers Ibadan, Nigeria, pp.

Oludimu, O. L. and Adedoyin, S.F(1996).Running a more successful cooperative, Triumph Book Publishers, Ijebu Ode, Nigeria.

The Co-operative Information Superhighway." *International Cooperative Alliance (ICA). (2011)* Available from www.coop.org . *Review of International Co-operation*, quarterly. Cooperatives - benefits